ATTACHMENT 69

	Dana 4		Dama 2
1	Page 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	APPEARANCES:
1			
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA	2	
3)	3	, ,
	IN RE: PROCESSED EGG PRODUCTS)	4	•
5	ANTITRUST LITIGATION)	5	Faegre Baker Daniels
6) MDL NO. 2002	6	300 N. Meridian Street
7	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO) 08-md-02002	7	Suite 2700
8	Kroger, Inc. v. United Egg)	8	Indianapolis, IN 46204
	Producers, et al.,) HIGHLY	9	•
	No. 2:10-cv-06705GP) CONFIDENTIAL	10	
11	**************************************	11	namy.oobom chaogrobb.com
		12	On behalf of the Defendant Rose Acre Farms:
12	- 1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1		
13	The videotaped deposition upon oral	13	,
14	examination of GARY A. STULL, a witness produced	14	9
15	and sworn before me, Tara Gandel Hudson, RPR, CRR	, 15	
16	a Notary Public in and for the County of Marion,	16	
17	State of Indiana, taken on behalf of the defendants	17	Columbus, OH 43215
18	at the offices of FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS, 300 N.	18	
19	Meridian Street, Suite 2700, Indianapolis, Marion	19	mcrabtree@porterwright.com
20	County, Indiana, on the 1st day of April, 2014,	20	3
21	commencing at the hour of 9:32 a.m., pursuant to	21	
22	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.	22	
	the rederal Rules of Civil Procedure.	23	
23			
24		24	
25		25	
	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:		
	AFFEARANCES.	1	APPEARANCES:
2	AFFEARANCES.	1 2	
3	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs:		
		2	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder:
3 4	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ.	2 3 4	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ.
3 4 5	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically)	2 3 4 5	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP
3 4 5 6	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP	2 3 4 5 6	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre
3 4 5 6 7	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square	2 3 4 5 6 7	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street
3 4 5 6 7 8	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890 Detroit, MI 48226	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215/994-4000
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890 Detroit, MI 48226 313/309-1760, 212/594-5300	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215/994-4000 christine.levin@dechert.com
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890 Detroit, MI 48226	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215/994-4000 christine.levin@dechert.com
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890 Detroit, MI 48226 313/309-1760, 212/594-5300 pnovak@milberg.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215/994-4000 christine.levin@dechert.com
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890 Detroit, MI 48226 313/309-1760, 212/594-5300 pnovak@milberg.com On behalf of the Kroger Plaintiffs and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215/994-4000 christine.levin@dechert.com ALSO PRESENT: Sara Williams, Videographer
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890 Detroit, MI 48226 313/309-1760, 212/594-5300 pnovak@milberg.com On behalf of the Kroger Plaintiffs and the witness:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215/994-4000 christine.levin@dechert.com ALSO PRESENT: Sara Williams, Videographer
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890 Detroit, MI 48226 313/309-1760, 212/594-5300 pnovak@milberg.com On behalf of the Kroger Plaintiffs and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215/994-4000 christine.levin@dechert.com ALSO PRESENT: Sara Williams, Videographer
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890 Detroit, MI 48226 313/309-1760, 212/594-5300 pnovak@milberg.com On behalf of the Kroger Plaintiffs and the witness:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215/994-4000 christine.levin@dechert.com ALSO PRESENT: Sara Williams, Videographer
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890 Detroit, MI 48226 313/309-1760, 212/594-5300 pnovak@milberg.com On behalf of the Kroger Plaintiffs and the witness: KEVIN J. MURRAY, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215/994-4000 christine.levin@dechert.com ALSO PRESENT: Sara Williams, Videographer
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890 Detroit, MI 48226 313/309-1760, 212/594-5300 pnovak@milberg.com On behalf of the Kroger Plaintiffs and the witness: KEVIN J. MURRAY, ESQ. Kenny Nachwalter 1100 Miami Center	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215/994-4000 christine.levin@dechert.com ALSO PRESENT: Sara Williams, Videographer
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890 Detroit, MI 48226 313/309-1760, 212/594-5300 pnovak@milberg.com On behalf of the Kroger Plaintiffs and the witness: KEVIN J. MURRAY, ESQ. Kenny Nachwalter 1100 Miami Center 201 South Biscayne Boulevard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215/994-4000 christine.levin@dechert.com ALSO PRESENT: Sara Williams, Videographer
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890 Detroit, MI 48226 313/309-1760, 212/594-5300 pnovak@milberg.com On behalf of the Kroger Plaintiffs and the witness: KEVIN J. MURRAY, ESQ. Kenny Nachwalter 1100 Miami Center 201 South Biscayne Boulevard Miami, FL 33131	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215/994-4000 christine.levin@dechert.com ALSO PRESENT: Sara Williams, Videographer
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 15 166 17 18 19 20	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890 Detroit, MI 48226 313/309-1760, 212/594-5300 pnovak@milberg.com On behalf of the Kroger Plaintiffs and the witness: KEVIN J. MURRAY, ESQ. Kenny Nachwalter 1100 Miami Center 201 South Biscayne Boulevard Miami, FL 33131 305/737-1000	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215/994-4000 christine.levin@dechert.com ALSO PRESENT: Sara Williams, Videographer
3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890 Detroit, MI 48226 313/309-1760, 212/594-5300 pnovak@milberg.com On behalf of the Kroger Plaintiffs and the witness: KEVIN J. MURRAY, ESQ. Kenny Nachwalter 1100 Miami Center 201 South Biscayne Boulevard Miami, FL 33131	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215/994-4000 christine.levin@dechert.com ALSO PRESENT: Sara Williams, Videographer
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890 Detroit, MI 48226 313/309-1760, 212/594-5300 pnovak@milberg.com On behalf of the Kroger Plaintiffs and the witness: KEVIN J. MURRAY, ESQ. Kenny Nachwalter 1100 Miami Center 201 South Biscayne Boulevard Miami, FL 33131 305/737-1000	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215/994-4000 christine.levin@dechert.com ALSO PRESENT: Sara Williams, Videographer
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890 Detroit, MI 48226 313/309-1760, 212/594-5300 pnovak@milberg.com On behalf of the Kroger Plaintiffs and the witness: KEVIN J. MURRAY, ESQ. Kenny Nachwalter 1100 Miami Center 201 South Biscayne Boulevard Miami, FL 33131 305/737-1000	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215/994-4000 christine.levin@dechert.com ALSO PRESENT: Sara Williams, Videographer
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	On behalf of the indirect purchaser Plaintiffs: PAUL NOVAK, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically) Milberg, LLP One Kennedy Square 777 Woodward Avenue, Suite 890 Detroit, MI 48226 313/309-1760, 212/594-5300 pnovak@milberg.com On behalf of the Kroger Plaintiffs and the witness: KEVIN J. MURRAY, ESQ. Kenny Nachwalter 1100 Miami Center 201 South Biscayne Boulevard Miami, FL 33131 305/737-1000	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	On behalf of the Defendant R.W. Sauder: CHRISTINE C. LEVIN ESQ. Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215/994-4000 christine.levin@dechert.com ALSO PRESENT: Sara Williams, Videographer

	Page 5		Page 7
1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	1	Stull, KRGEG00019358-359
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION9	2	Exhibit 20 4-13-05 Email, Lillywhite to195
3	Questions by Christine C. Levin	3	Stull, KRGEG00019366
4	FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION215	4	Exhibit 21 11-18-04 Letter, Krouse to197
5	Questions by Paul Novak	5	Stull, KRGEG00019171-183
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION216	6	Exhibit 22 9-12-05 Letter, Krouse to200
7	Questions by Kevin J. Murray	7	Stull, KRGEG00019004-007
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION219	8	Exhibit 23 9-23-05 Letter, Krouse to203
9	Questions by Christine C. Levin	9	Stull, KRGEG00019008-009
10	•	10	Exhibit 24 4-20-05 Letter, Krouse to207
11	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	11	Stull, MPS-00048631
12	Deposition Exhibit No.:	12	Exhibit 25 Handwritten Document,208
	Exhibit 1 Kroger.com Website Printout,21	13	KRGEG00019303-304
14	MPS-00121367	14	Exhibit 26 Untitled Document,208
	Exhibit 1 (A) The KrogerCo.com Website21	15	
16	Printout		Exhibit 27 IEC Spring Meeting 2004,209
	Exhibit 2 Acknowledgment and Consent22	17	MPS-00123649-652
	Exhibit 3 10-1-02 Memorandum,33	18	
19	KRGEG00019788-792	19	
	Exhibit 4 8-2-05 Letter, Stull to44	20	
21	Gregory, DAY0029730	21	
	Exhibit 5 Animal Welfare Policy,52	22	
23	MPS-00087588	23	
	Exhibit 6 Request for Proposal,80	24	
25	KRGEG00018733-738	25	
	Done C		Down 0
1	Page 6 Exhibit 7 Rose Acre Farms Agreement,89	1	Page 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the video
2	KRGEG00019436-443	2	
	Exhibit 8 Rose Acre Farms Certification,100	3	"In Re: Processed Egg Products Antitrust
4	KRGEG00020141	4	Litigation," in the United States District Court
-	Exhibit 9 Rose Acre Farms Certification,100	5	for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
6	KRGEG00019397	6	MDL No. 2002 08-MD-02002.
_		7	Today's date is Tuesday, April 1st,
8	Exhibit 10 Cal-Maine Foods Agreement,102 KRGEG00018833-836		2014. This deposition is being held at the law
	Exhibit 11 NuCal Foods, Inc. Agreement,113	9	offices of Faegre Baker Daniels, 300 North
10	KRGEG00019290-19291	10	Meridian Street, Suite 2700, Indianapolis,
	Exhibit 12 Midwest Poultry Services, L.P113	11	Indiana. My name is Sara Williams, and I am the
12	Agreement, KRGEG00019063-070	12	video specialist. The court reporter is Tara
	Exhibit 13 8-1-03 Email Chain, Subject113	13	Hudson. We represent Henderson Legal Services
14	Ralph's Eggs, KRGEGED00010798-799	14	The time is 9:32 a.m., and we are on
	Exhibit 14 9-27-02 Letter, Krouse to113	15	the record. Could counsel please state their
	·	16	names and the parties they represent.
16	Stull, MPS-0093359-360	17	MS. LEVIN: Christine Levin for RW
	Exhibit 15 4-4-03 Letter, Krouse to Stull,113		
18	MPS-000092027-034	18	Sauder.
	Exhibit 16 2-2-04 Letter, Krouse to Stull,113	19	MS. CRABTREE: Molly Crabtree for Rose
20	MPS-00093368-369	20	Acre Farms.
		21	MS. OSBORN: Kathy Osborn for Midwest
\sim	Exhibit 17 1-9-04 Memorandum, Willardson156		Doultry Convisoo
22	to Sumner, MOARK-IPP-0004913-915	22	Poultry Services.
23	to Sumner, MOARK-IPP-0004913-915 Exhibit 18 1-26-04 Memorandum, Hodges to158	22 23	MR. MURRAY: Kevin Murray from Kenny
23 24	to Sumner, MOARK-IPP-0004913-915	22	

Page 9 Page 11 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter need a "yes" or "no" if it's a "yes" or "no" type 2 2 may swear in the witness. question. 3 GARY A. STULL, 3 We generally go for about an hour or an hour and a half at a time because that's how long 4 having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the 4 5 whole truth and nothing but the truth relating to the videographer's tape lasts. But if you need a 6 said matter, was examined and testified as follows: 6 break at any point or you need to get up and 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION, 7 stretch your legs or whatever, feel free to ask QUESTIONS BY CHRISTINE C. LEVIN: 8 for that. Okay? This is not a contest to see if 8 you can make it for the full hour and a half. 9 Q Could you state your name for the 10 record, please. 10 And if you don't understand a question A Gary Stull. that I have asked, you are welcome to ask me to 11 11 Q Mr. Stull, thank you for coming here repeat the question or to rephrase the question 12 13 today. I don't know if you are familiar with the so that you can understand and answer it. 13 14 litigation, but I'd just like to know, have you 14 Is there any reason you can't testify ever been deposed before? 15 truthfully today? You're not taking any 15 medications or anything of that sort? A Yes. 16 16 A I take medications but I don't think I 17 Q How many times? 17 A Once. take anything that would affect --18 18 19 Q When was that? 19 Q Okay. A -- testifying. 20 A You know, I can't recall that. I 20 Q Good enough. 21 honestly don't remember. 21 22 Q Okay. But while you were employed by 22 A In my past life I was involved in some -- I was injured, and one of the things that 23 Kroger? 23 happened, I was in an explosion. And I don't 24 A Yes. 24 Q Was the deposition in conjunction with know if I have TBI or not, but it's quite a 25 Page 10 Page 12 your Kroger employment? possibility. I'm a disabled veteran and I 1 2 A Yes. 2 receive pension for several issues. 3 Q What do you recall the subject matter Q Okay. What is TBI? 3 of the litigation? 4 A TBI? Traumatic brain injury. 4 A Honestly, I don't. Honestly, I don't. 5 5 Q Okay. Are you represented by counsel I've been retired for eight years --6 today? 6 7 Q Okay. 7 A Yes. A -- and I stopped thinking about work 8 Q And when did you retain counsel? 8 eight years ago. I have three wonderful Couple weeks ago. 9 grandchildren that take my time. They live Have you ever been employed by Kroger? 10 Q guarter mile away, and I spend all my days with 11 Α 12 them. 12 Can you tell me the years when you were 13 Q Okay. Well, that's very nice. employed by Kroger? 13 I will just review some of the rules of 14 A I -- when I retired, I had been with 14 Kroger for 32 years. 15 the road --15 A Okay. Q And when did you retire? 16 16 17 Q -- since it's been a while since you've A February 2006. 17 been deposed. First of all, we can't talk at the 18 Q So if my math serves me correctly, you 19 same time, so I would ask that you wait for me to 19 were employed by Kroger beginning in around 1974? 20 finish my question before you start your answer Does that sound right? 20 21 so that our court reporter can get down 21 A Something like that. 22 everything that's being said. Q Okay. Can you go through as nearly as 22 23 The second is that you need to reply possible your positions with Kroger beginning in 24 verbally. Hand gestures or nods of the head will 1974. 24 25 not be recorded by the court reporter. So we 25 A Certainly. I began as a night shift

1 foreman in an egg plant. I worked my way up to

- 2 general foreman. The plant, it was in Coldwater,
- 3 Michigan. That plant closed. I went to another
- 4 egg plant in Wabash, Indiana. I was there until
- 5 that plant closed. Then I went to -- let's see.
- 6 I went to Crawfordsville with the
- 7 cheese plant and worked as a cheese sales manager
- for a period of time. And then I came back to
- 9 eggs and went to Farina, Illinois, and worked at
- 10 a -- Kroger had a breaker plant there in Farina,
- which we sold while I was there. I was there 11
- 12 three months and had to move again.
- 13 And moved to Crawfordsville, back with
- 14 the cheese plant. And then I went into working
- 15 as the -- was asked to come back to eggs as a
- 16 sales manager for eggs. And I was there until my
- retirement. But please don't ask me years 17
- 18 because I can't recall that.
- 19 Q Well, I'm not going to ask you the
- 20 years for each of them, but do you recall roughly
- when you became the sales manager for eggs? Or
- 22 roughly how long you were the sales manager for
- eggs? 23
- A Honestly, I don't know. I mean I would 24
- just -- I didn't really separate that out, so I

- Page 13 minute and talk a little bit about the Kroger
 - 2 corporate structure. And I'm not going to get
 - 3 into great detail with you, but I'd just like to
 - get a little bit of a basis here.
 - 5 I understand Kroger embraces a number of different banners or names for their different

Page 15

Page 16

- grocery chains; is that correct? 7
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Do you recall the names of some of
- 10 those banners is what I've always referred to
- them as? 11

15

20

23

- Kroger. 12 Α
- Q Right. 13
- 14 Α Ralph's.
 - Q Mm-hmm.
- I'm trying to think, it's been so long 16
- 17 since I've remembered them. I'm sure you
 - probably have them somewhere. I can't really
- 19 remember the rest of them.
 - Q Do you recall King Soopers?
- Oh, yes. King Soopers. I'm sorry. 21
- 22 Q And Smith's?
 - A I don't recall Smith's.
- Q You don't recall that? Okay, but there 24
- were a number of different banners --

Page 14

- can't recall that. 1
- Q Okay. Were you ever -- did you ever 2
- 3 have the title of general manager of egg
- procurement? 4
- 5 A Yes, I think so.
- 6 Q And do you know what time period that
- 7 was?
- 8 Α No, I do not.
- 9 Q Which of these positions that you've
- described here for me included the general 10
- manager of egg procurement title? 11
- 12 A The last one.
- Q The sales manager for eggs? 13
- A Mm-hmm. 14
- Q So can you tell me about your 15
- responsibilities as sales manager for eggs? 16
- A That was really the procurement -- the 17
- title was as manager because that's what Kroger had in place, but I was procurement manager in 19
- 20 reality.
- 21 Q Okay. And what were those 22 responsibilities?
- 23 A Procure eggs for the Kroger Company.
- And maintain the quality. 24
 - Q Right. And, let's step back for just a

- 1 Yes.
 - 2 Q -- under which the Kroger chain
 - 3 operated; correct?
 - 4 A Yes.
 - 5 Q And were your egg procurement
 - responsibilities for all of those chains? 6
 - 7 Α Yes.
 - Q And were the procurement 8
 - responsibilities nationwide? 9
 - A Yes. 10
 - Q We'll come back to some of that, but I 11
 - wanted to get just a little bit of a basis for
 - exactly what it was you did. 13
 - Did your procurement include shell
 - 15 eggs?

14

17

23

- 16 A Yes.
 - Q As well as egg products?
- A I really -- egg products were a very 18
- small part. Most of the divisions took care of 19
- 20 that themselves.
- 21 Q So, when you say most of the divisions,
- 22 you mean the different banner stores?
 - A Correct.
- 24 Q So you say that you left Kroger in
- 25 February of 2006 for retirement? Is that

Page 17 Page 19 1 correct? 1 Q Yes. 2 2 No, not really. A Yes. 3 Q Did you leave on good terms? 3 Q Do you recall -- or do you know an organization known as PETA? 4 4 5 Q I mean this was just a retirement; 5 A Yes. correct? Do you know what "PETA" stands for? 6 6 Q 7 7 A Correct. 8 Q Do you have any ongoing financial 8 Q What does it stand for? relationship with Kroger by way of a pension, for 9 9 Α People for the Ethical Treatment of 10 example? 10 Animals. Α Yes. 11 Q Do you recall in the earlier 2000s PETA 11 pressuring various food organizations to adopt 12 Q I'd like to begin by discussing the 12 13 animal welfare program for egg-laying hens, which 13 animal welfare guidelines? 14 is what this litigation is about. Do you 14 Α Yes. 15 understand that that's -- maybe I should just ask 15 Q What do you recall about that? 16 you. What do you understand the litigation is 16 Can you be more specific than that, 17 about? 17 what --18 18 A I first learned of the litigation when Q Well, I'd like to get your general 19 I received the letter dated February 14th of this 19 recollection, if you have one. 20 year. I have had no contact with Kroger Company 20 A I know that McDonald's was involved. 21 over my retirement. I have the letter that you 21 Q Okay. 22 sent me in 2013 --22 A And that PETA was active in pursuing a 23 Q Right. 23 lot of the fast food places. 24 A -- but that didn't say anything about 24 Q Okay. So you recall PETA pursuing litigation. So I first became aware of any kind 25 McDonald's --Page 18 Page 20 of litigation in February when I received that 1 Α Yes. 1 2 -- you said? Do you recall PETA letter. 2 3 Q In February of 2014? 3 pursuing Burger King, for instance? A Not specifically. 4 Α Yes. 4 Q But you recall that they were pursuing 5 Q But what do you understand the 5 various fast food restaurants? litigation to be about? 6 6 7 MR. MURRAY: And let me say in 7 A Correct. answering that question, please do not reveal any 8 Q Do you recall PETA at some point in 8 information that you've learned from counsel. If time turning its attention towards retail 10 you can answer the question independent of grocers? 10 discussions you've had with your lawyers, go 11 A You know, I really can't answer that because I really don't recall if they -- if they 12 ahead and do so. If you can't, then point that 13 out. specifically targeted grocery stores or not. Q Okay. Well, we'll look at some 14 Okay. The only -- the only thing I can 14 15 tell you is, when I got that letter, then I knew 15 documents that might refresh your recollection on 16 there was litigation. I had no idea what it was 16 that. 17 about. It just said Kroger versus United Egg 17 Do you know what FMI is? 18 Producers. A I don't know what it stands for. I 18 Q When you say "that letter," you mean don't know what FMI is. 19 19 20 the letter that I sent you? 20 Q Do you know generally what the 21 21 A Yes. The second one. organization does? Q Well, do you recall animal welfare 22 A No. I can't recall. I'm not -- I'm 22 issues being a topic of discussion at Kroger in 23 not trying to be evasive. I honestly can't the early 2000s? 24 recall. 24 25 A At Kroger? 25 Q Okay. Do you recall that it's a trade

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 392-69 Filed: 10/23/23 Page 7 of 57 PageID #:17735 Page 21 association for food marketing organizations? behalf of the indirect purchasers. I'd like the 1 2 A I really don't recall that. record to reflect that I'll be participating on 2 3 Q Do you recall whether Kroger belonged 3 behalf of the indirect purchasers today. I to FMI at the time -- I would like to focus this actually came in a few minutes prior to the last 4 5 deposition, frankly, on 2000 until the time that 5 going off -- prior to going off the record. And in addition, I'd also like to you retired. 6 6 7 A Okay. 7 reflect that the first notification that I Q That's basically the time period I'm believe indirect purchaser plaintiffs received of 8 the date of this deposition was when the court interested in. 9 9 reporting firm provided notification to us on 10 Do you recall that FMI -- I mean that 10 Kroger belonged to FMI during that time period? last Friday. And for that reason, we both object 11 A I wasn't intimate with that, so I can't to the timeliness, or lack of timeliness, in the 12 say if they did or not. I -notification that the deposition was going 13 13 14 Q Okay. Let's mark a couple of documents 14 forward, and reserve our rights with respect to 15 as exhibits, 1 and 1-A. We'll see if this helps 15 that. 16 refresh your recollection a bit. 16 MR. MURRAY: This is counsel for 17 (Deposition Exhibits 1 and 1-A were 17 Mr. Stull and Kroger. We had nothing to do with 18 marked for identification.) notifying the parties in the case about this 19 MR. MURRAY: Why are we using 1-A 19 deposition, and from our perspective this is the 20 instead of 2? Is that just because you have them one and only time Mr. Stull is going to appear. 20 MS. LEVIN: From my perspective, if 21 marked that way? 21 22 MS. LEVIN: Because they're the same 22 you're correct that the first you learned about document, and one is cut off and the other is this was last week, then we apologize. I'm not 23 in my office and so I really am not able to 24 not. 24 determine whether that's correct or not. 25 25 So this I'd like to mark as Exhibit 1, Page 22 a document bearing the Bates No. MPS-00121367, 1 Let the record reflect that while we and as Exhibit 1-A a press release that was 2 3 obtained from the Kroger Company website. MR. MURRAY: The Kroger one's fine, and by the protective order with respect to 4 5 the other one is stamped confidential, highly that he may be seeing today. confidential. 6 6 Q Mr. Stull, have you had a chance to 7 MS. LEVIN: It is stamped highly 7 confidential, and we can begin by having review Exhibits 1 and 1-A? 8 8 Mr. Stull sign an acknowledgment under the case 9 I'm in the process of doing that right management order and the protective order, if 10 now. 10 you'd like to review that with him. 11 Q Okay. Let me know when you're 11 12 12

24

25

MR. MURRAY: Okay. Why don't we go off 13 the record and I'll go outside and talk to him about this.

MS. LEVIN: Okay.

14

15

16

17

18

25

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 16 record. The time is 9:48 a.m.

(A recess was taken.)

(Deposition Exhibit 2 was marked for 19 identification.) 20

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 21 21 record. The time is 9:52 a.m. 22

23 MS. LEVIN: Let the record reflect --24 go ahead.

MR. NOVAK: This is Paul Novak on

were off the record, we marked as Exhibit Stull 2 a copy of Mr. Stull's signed commitment to abide confidential and highly confidential information

finished.

13 I will represent to you, and you're 14 certainly welcome to satisfy yourself, but Defendant's Exhibit 1 is a press release by 15 Kroger that has been cut off on the right-hand side, and so we printed out the same press 17 release from the Kroger website so as to capture a few letters that seemed to be missing from 19 20 Exhibit 1.

A I've pretty much familiarized myself.

22 Q Can you just state for the record what Defendant's Exhibit 1 and 1-A appear to be? 23

A Exhibit 1 and 1-A appear to be a press release from the Kroger Company dated May 31st

Page 23

Page 24

Page 25 1 2002. 1 Q Do you know why you would have sent 2 Q And what's the subject matter of 2 Defendant's Exhibit 1 to Mr. Krouse in June of 3 Defendant's Exhibit 1 and 1-A? 3 2002? A It says Kroger to support animal MR. MURRAY: Objection. Calls for 4 4 5 welfare guidelines developed by the Food speculation. You can answer if you know. Q Mr. Krouse [sic], you understand, I Marketing Institute. 6 6 7 Q And does Exhibit 1 refresh your 7 only want you to answer things if you know; recollection that FMI stands for the Food 8 8 correct? Marketing Institute? 9 A Correct. 9 10 A I can see that now, yes. 10 Q Good. Q And at the top of Exhibit 1 you'll see MS. LEVIN: We don't need to continue 11 11 12 some notations that reflect that it appears you, to coach him in that fashion. 12 13 Gary Stull, sent Defendant's Exhibit 1 to Bob MR. MURRAY: I'm not coaching him. I'm 13 14 Krouse. Do you see that? 14 making an objection for the record. A Yes. Q Mr. Stull, can you answer the question? 15 15 Q Do you recall sending Defendant's MR. MURRAY: I'll make whatever 16 16 Exhibit 1 to Mr. Krouse? 17 17 objections I feel are appropriate. A I don't specifically recall it, but it A I can't -- would you restate the 18 18 19 has my name on it. 19 question, please? Q You don't question that you sent MS. LEVIN: Can you read the question 20 20 Defendant's Exhibit 1 to Mr. Krouse on or about 21 21 back for Mr. Stull. 22 June 26, 2002? 22 (The reporter read the requested MR. MURRAY: Objection. Lack of 23 23 question.) foundation. You can answer if you know. MR. MURRAY: Can you also read my 24 24 25 A No. 25 objection. Page 26 Page 28 Q Mr. Stull, do you see in the upper I'll renew my objection. 1 1 right-hand corner a fax number and then June 26, MS. LEVIN: The question says "Do you 2 2 3 2002? 3 know?" MR. MURRAY: My objection is calls for 4 OnDefendant's Exhibit 1. 4 speculation. You can answer if you know. 5 A I see -- where would I see that? 5 A No. I don't recall. Q At the very top of the page on the 6 6 7 right-hand side. 7 Q Let's take a look at the text of the A Okay. I see it, where it says June 26, press release and see if it refreshes your 8 8 9 2002? recollection on what was going on at Kroger with Q Correct. So do you have any doubt that respect to animal welfare in the early 2000s. 10 10 you in fact sent Defendant's Exhibit 1 to See at the first sentence it says, "The 11 11 12 Kroger Company today said it continues to support Mr. Krouse on June 26, 2002? 12 A I don't recall sending it. 13 the Food Marketing Institute's development of an 13 Q You don't recall, but do you question animal welfare program." 14 14 whether you did in light of the notations on the Do you recall that in May of 2002 15 Kroger was supporting the Food Marketing document? 16 17 Institute's development of an animal welfare A I would say, you know, that it's on 17 program? 18 there. 18 Q Who is Mr. Krouse? A I have the document in front of me that 19 19 20 A Bob Krouse was with Midwest Poultry. 20 savs that. Q And was Midwest Poultry a supplier to Q But do you recall whether that was 21 21 22 taking place at that time? Does this refresh 22 Kroger of shell eggs --23 A Yeah -your recollection? 23 24 Q -- in 2002? 24 A I don't recall. It's been eight years. 25 A Yes. I'm sorry. I interrupted you. 25 It's hard to pin down what happened eight years

4

1 ago.

4

9

10

14

15

19

1

2 3

4

5

6 7

11

18

- 2 Q I understand. It's actually been 3 twelve years, so --
 - A Twelve years ago.
- 5 Q We understand that you don't have a crystal clear recollection. My question is 6 really whether you recall generally that that was 7
- going on in your job at the time? 8
 - A Yes.
- Q And do you recall that the company at that point in time was going to require its 11 suppliers to adopt the best practice guidelines when they were issued by FMI? 13
 - A I read that in this press release.
- Q Do you recall whether the animal 16 welfare guidelines that were being considered at 17 this point in time included guidelines for egg-laying hens? 18
- A If -- I would be speculating at this point to say anything. Because -- and the only 20 reason why I say that is I can't recall specifically if it was or not. So a "yes" or "no" answer, I can't give you that. 23
- Q Do you recall generally whether the 24 animal welfare program that was being developed 25

Page 29 1 Is that stated in here?

- 2 Yes. It's right before the list of Q
- 3 names, the bullet point list of names.
 - A It states that in this press release.
- 5 Q Do you have any reason to doubt the accuracy of that statement in the press release? 6

Page 31

- 7 A This press release was by a Kroger 8 group vice president. That's a little above my pay grade. 9
- 10 Q Well, I understand that. But I'm asking whether you have any reason to doubt the 11 accuracy of the statements in this press release. 12
- A I have confidence in what a Kroger vice 13 14 president would say.
- 15 Q Would you have sent the press release to Mr. Krouse if you had questioned the accuracy 17 of the statements in the press release?
- 18 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Calls for 19 speculation.
- 20 A If Kroger -- if the Kroger corporate 21 vice president of corporate affairs said that's 22 where our position is, I wouldn't question that 23 position.
 - Q And you wouldn't have sent Defendant's Exhibit 1 to Mr. Krouse if you believed it

Page 30

24

25

4

12

by the Food Marketing Institute included guidelines for egg-laying hens?

MR. MURRAY: Objection. Asked and answered. You can answer if you know.

- A You would have to consult with Lynn Marmer as to whether it was, because it's not specifically laid out in here.
- Q Okay. Well, we've got some other 8 documents we can look at that will perhaps help 9 prod your memory. 10

Do you recall -- going to the second 12 paragraph it states, "FMI began reviewing the 13 issue of animal welfare in 2001 at the request of 14 its member companies including Kroger, 15 Albertson's, Safeway, and others." Do you recall

- 16 Kroger encouraging FMI to look at the issue of 17 animal welfare in 2001?
 - That would be speculation.
- Q Do you have any reason to doubt the 19 accuracy of this press release by Kroger that's 20 contained in Defendant's Exhibit 1 and 1-A? 21
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q Do you recall that the guidelines that 24 were being explored by FMI were to be science-based guidelines?

Page 32 contained inaccurate statements; would you? 1

2 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Calls for 3 speculation.

A I'll go back to my other answer. If it was put out by a Kroger group vice president, then I have confidence in Kroger's system.

7 Q It states further down in the next-to-last paragraph that "Kroger has supported 8 the FMI/NCCR Animal Welfare Program since its inception and we will continue to support its ongoing research." Do you see that sentence? 11

A Uh-huh. Yes.

Q Do you have any reason to question the 13 14 accuracy of that sentence?

15 A Once again, I have confidence in the Kroger system. And if Lynn Marmer said that, I 16 have confidence that that's the position. 17

18 Q Do you have a recollection that Kroger supported the animal welfare program since its 19 inception? 20

21 A I don't have a personal memory of it, 22 but it states that here. And once again, I have absolute confidence that if Lynn Marmer of the Kroger Company said we did, we did. 24 25

Q Does reviewing Exhibit 1 or 1-A bring

Page 33 Page 35 1 back any other recollection to you concerning the reported to whoever held the position of 2 marketing manager, dairy products? 2 early involvement of Kroger with the animal welfare program? 3 A Correct. 3 4 A No. Q How many people reported to you? 4 5 Α Reported to me? MS. LEVIN: Let's mark as Exhibit 3 a 5 6 document bearing Bates No. KRGEG00019788 through 6 Q Yes. 7 7 Α At one time I had one person reporting 8 to me. 8 (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked for 9 identification.) 9 Q And otherwise you had no one reporting? Q Mr. Stull, if you'll let me know when 10 Α Otherwise I had no one. 10 11 Who was the person, or at least what 11 you've had a chance to review Defendant's Exhibit was the position of the person that the person 3, then we'll talk about it a little bit. 12 12 who reported to you held? 13 And to expedite your review, I will not 13 14 A She was a field inspector that went out be asking any questions about the last page but you're certainly free to review it. 15 to maintain quality by visiting suppliers unannounced. 16 A I've reviewed it. 16 17 Q You've had a chance to review 17 Q So she would make unannounced visits. for example, to various egg providers? Defendant's Exhibit 3? 18 18 19 A Correct. 19 A Yes. 20 Q And do you recall her name? 20 Q Or Stull Exhibit 3? 21 I recall her maiden name. Mr. Stull, the first question I have is 21 22 Q I just would like a name to make the whether looking at this -- well, let me first ask record a little bit easier. 23 23 you what Defendant's Exhibit 3 appears to be? 24 A Anita Graffiti. 24 A It states that it's a letter initiated 25 Graffiti as in --O by Lisa Beth Miller announcing that we would Page 34 Page 36 continue to support the Food Marketing (Nodding affirmatively) 1 2 Institute's development of an animal welfare 2 Q -- markings on walls? 3 program, and that the company would require its 3 A Yes. suppliers to adopt the program's best practice 4 MR. MURRAY: Makes it memorable. guidelines when they are issued. 5 5 MS. LEVIN: It makes it easier to Q And the date of Exhibit 3 is October 1. 6 remember, that's exactly right. 6 7 2002? Q So --7 A Yes. 8 A Her father was a cement contractor. 8 9 Q Who is Lisa Beth Miller? Do you 9 Q That's interesting. So Ms. Graffiti 10 recall? would make unannounced visits to egg suppliers or A Lisa Beth Miller was my boss. egg producers for Kroger Company. And what sorts 11 12 And what was her position? 12 of things was Ms. Graffiti looking for when she A She was marketing manager, dairy 13 made these unannounced visits? 13 14 products. A Kroger had certain quality standards 14 Q And Lisa Beth Miller was your boss for that we required all suppliers to meet. Anita's 15 15 the entire time that you were the manager of egg 16 function was to go out to the suppliers and make procurement? 17 sure that those standards were being maintained. 17 A No. 18 An example would be level -- levels of checks or 18 19 Q Can you explain who was your -- who you 19 cracked eggs that would be allowed in a 100-egg did report to when you were general manager of sample. Grade A eggs that would be allowed in 20 20 egg procurement? 21 21 samples. We didn't allow Grade B. But she would 22 A Lisa Beth was one of the people that 22 go out and maintain those Kroger-established occupied that position. There were others that I 23 quality requirements. reported to along the way, but I don't recall. 24 24 Q And did Ms. Graffiti have anything to 25 Q You don't recall, but you always 25 do with monitoring whether animal welfare

Page 37 Page 39 quidelines were followed? 2002? 1 1 2 A No. 2 A And also QFC. 3 Q Okay. Let's go back to Exhibit 3. You 3 Q And QFC. notice that you were one of the cc:'s on Exhibit 4 4 Do you recall whether that list of 5 3? 5 banners changed between 2000 and 2006 when you Α Yes. 6 6 retired? 7 Q Do you have any recollection of 7 A No. I do not. I'm sorry. 8 receiving Exhibit 3? Q Let's take a look at the second page of 8 A Not specifically. I received many 9 9 Exhibit 3. And when you described what the topic things. or the subject matter of Exhibit 3 was, you read 10 Q I'm sure you did. And I ask the the first sentence here about the company 11 question only because I need to. I understand 12 announcing its continued support of FMI's 12 it's very difficult to remember a document twelve development of an animal welfare program. years ago. Correct? 14 14 15 You've mentioned Lynn Marmer a number 15 A Correct. of times. Do you see Ms. Marmer received Exhibit 16 Q Does this refresh your recollection at 17 3 as well? Her name's right next to yours. all that FMI was developing an animal welfare 17 A Oh, yes. 18 program and that Kroger was in support of that 19 Q Who was Lynn Marmer? development? A I'm going to refer back to Exhibit 1 20 20 A I read this first sentence and it tells because I don't know her exact title. 21 me that. 21 22 She was the Kroger group vice president 22 Q But you have no independent for corporate affairs. 23 23 recollection? 24 Q And do you know what Ms. Marmer's 24 A No. responsibilities were? 25 25 Do you question the accuracy of the Page 38 Page 40 first sentence of the text of Exhibit 3? 1 A Once again, that's above my pay grade. 2 A No. I never questioned my boss. 2 I don't. 3 Q That's a good policy. 3 Q Okay. Do you recall whether she was Do you recall that the company was 4 some sort of liaison to FMI? 4 going to require its suppliers to adopt the 5 A I can't answer that. I don't know. guidelines once they were issued? 6 6 Q Taking a look at the list of recipients of -- Exhibit 3. If you'll see about halfway 7 A I read that in this press release; 7 therefore, my memory's tainted already because down the list of the two lists -- no, on the 8 8 first page. I'm reading it here. Do I recall it 9 independently? No. A Okay. 10 10 Q Further down in the third paragraph, 11 Q There are a number of names followed by 11 the document references placing top priority on 12 various division names. King Soopers, Fry's, 12 13 the comfort, health and safety of chickens. Does Ralph's. Does that refresh your recollection at all as to some of the different banners that 14 this refresh your recollection that the -amongst the animal welfare guidelines being Kroger operates under? 15 developed, one was for egg-laying hens? A When I look at that list, I would guess 16 16 A When I read this it talks about that that's primarily at that time the list of 17 17 18 increased space -- cage space per hen. I would Kroger divisions. 18 assume that's referring to egg-laying hens. 19 Q And would that be -- from Dillon on 19 20 Q And do you recall that one set of down, would those all be names of various banners 20 animal welfare guidelines that were being 21 under which Kroger operates stores? 21

22

23

24

25

developed was for egg-laying hens?

Q Do you recall there being an issue

about cage space per hen which was being

A Specifically, no. I don't.

Q So Dillon, King Soopers, Fry's,

Ralph's, Food 4 Less, Smith's, and Fred Meyer

were all banners under which Kroger operated in

A Yes.

22

23

5

19

discussed by Kroger?

2 A I read that here. Therefore, I think 3 my recollection is tainted already. Do I --4 would I have recollected it without this

5 document? No. Probably not.

Q Well, I'm not asking you -- and I am 6 concerned when you say it's tainted. I'm really 7 asking you whether reading this refreshes your 9 recollection at all. I understand that absent 10 looking at any documents you don't have a 11 recollection. My question to you is whether this 12 helps refresh your recollection. 13

A I know that there was some issues about 14 animal welfare things, but do I recall anything specifically? No, I do not.

Q And does reviewing this document help 17 you recall that one of the issues was with respect to cage space?

A I see it there, so I would say yes.

Q And do you recall that one of the 20 issues was about molting of hens? 21

22 A Once again, I see it here. I wouldn't have recalled it specifically without seeing it 23 24 here.

25 Okay. But would you --Q

Page 41 farms at that point in time?

> 2 MR. MURRAY: Egg farms or other kind of 3 farms?

Page 43

Page 44

4 MS. LEVIN: Egg farms.

A Not at the facility I worked at.

Q Did they -- did Kroger own egg farms at 6 7 other facilities?

8 A Yes.

9 Q During that time period did you become at all familiar with animal husbandry for hens?

11 No.

12 Q On the next-to-last sentence, or the next-to-last paragraph on the text of Lisa Beth

Miller's memo in Exhibit 3, there's a reference

to "working with Papetti's and Nulaid, our 15

Corporate Brand supplier of egg substitutes in

17 order to determine their ability to comply as quickly as possible." 18

What are egg substitutes?

20 A When you take -- break eggs out and you 21 take the yoke out and you just have whites left, 22 and they'll put a little oil in with it to make

it whip together, that's an egg substitute. 23

Q So it would be something like what is 24 25 sold under the brand name Egg Beaters?

Page 42

A So this does -- this does tell me that 1

it was an issue, yes. 2

15

16

19

Q And it refreshes your recollection? 3

A It's hard to say if it's my 4

recollection or I'm reading it here. Do I see it

here? Yes. Do I remember if I recalled it? Not 6

specifically, no. 7

8 Q Let's talk a little bit -- I just want

to rewind just briefly about the time when you

were employed by Kroger working as a foreman or a

general foreman in egg plants. At that period of

12 time did Kroger have its own supply, or have its

13 own farms for egg-laying hens?

14 A No.

Q What was the relationship between the 15 egg plant that you worked at and Kroger? What 16 was the business that they were engaged in? 17

A The egg plant produced Kroger product. 18

19 Kroger eggs.

20 Q But when you say produced, what do you

21 mean?

25

A Packaged. 22

Q So --23

We brought eggs in and packaged them. 24

Q So the Kroger -- Kroger didn't own any

1 Α Correct.

3

4

2 Q And who are Papetti's and Nulaid?

A They were suppliers of egg substitutes.

Q And was this reference here to

Papetti's and Nulaid's ability to comply as

quickly as possible a reference to their ability 6

7 to comply with the animal welfare guidelines?

A I would assume that from the letter. I 8 9 did not deal with Papetti's or Nulaid.

10

Q Did you have any responsibility for egg products or egg substitutes? 11

12 Α No.

13 Q Your sole procurement responsibilities 14 were with respect to shell eggs?

15 A Correct.

16 MS. LEVIN: I'm going to mark as

Exhibit 4 a document bearing the Bates No. 17

DAY0029730. 18

19 (Deposition Exhibit 4 was marked for 20 identification.)

Q Mr. Stull, you'll let me know when 21 22 you've had a chance to review Exhibit 4.

23 You've completed your review of Exhibit

4, Mr. Stull? 24

25 A Yes, I have,

Page 45 1 Q What is Exhibit 4? accuracy of that sentence in Exhibit 4? 2 2 A I don't know if I do or not because it A Exhibit 4 is a letter to Mr. Gene 3 Gregory, senior vice president for UEP. 3 happened quite a while ago. Q It's dated August 2nd, 2005? Q It's in fact consistent, is it not, 4 4 5 A Correct. 5 with -- Mr. Stull, with Defendant's Exhibit 1, 6 which is the press release which you've testified Q And is that your signature on Exhibit 7 4? you have no reason to question? 7 8 A Yes, it is, 8 A Correct. Q Do you recall rescinding -- sending Q So your statement that Kroger has 9 9 Exhibit 4 to Mr. Gregory? 10 supported the animal care certified program from A Specifically? No. I retired four the very beginning is consistent with Defendant's 11 months after this was --Exhibit 1; correct? 12 Q I understand. Do you have any doubt 13 A I don't know that it states it -- I 13 14 that you sent Exhibit 4 to Mr. Gregory? 14 don't --A No. 15 15 Q Well, look down at the next-to-last Q And did you attempt in preparing paragraph on the first page of Defendant's 16 Exhibit 4 to make truthful and accurate 17 Exhibit 1. It states "Kroger has supported the 17 18 FMI/NCCR Animal Welfare Program since its statements? 19 A I'm not sure that I prepared this inception." So you don't have any doubt about 20 letter. The reason why I say that is some the accuracy of this sentence in the matter -letters were sent to me and -- by suppliers 21 A Okay. 22 encouraging me to sign them and send them on. 22 Q -- in Defendant's Exhibit 4; do you? Q And when you signed them and sent them 23 A It stated that in Exhibit 1, then I 23 on, did you review them for accuracy before 24 24 have no question to doubt -- no reason to doubt signing and sending them? it because I don't doubt Kroger's position. Page 46 A On this particular letter, I honestly Q When you stated in Exhibit 4 that 1 1 2 have to say I was preparing to leave the company, Kroger has supported the animal care certified 2 3 I had already turned in my retirement notice, and program from the very beginning, that was a 4 a lot of things came across my desk at that time. correct statement? It was an accurate statement? 5 Do I recall specifically reviewing this? No, I 5 A If we're referring to what was talked about in Exhibit 1, yes. 6 don't. 6 7 Q Do you have a recollection of someone 7 Q The second sentence in that same sending you this Exhibit 4 for your signature? paragraph, "We were instrumental in seeing that 8 9 A No. Not specifically. the Food Marketing Institute assembled a panel of Q So you don't -- aren't testifying today leading national experts in animal welfare 10 10 that somebody else prepared Exhibit 4 and sent it practices." 11 11 to you for signature? 12 12 Do you see that sentence? MR. MURRAY: Objection. 13 13 A Yes. Mischaracterizes his testimony. 14 14 Q Do you have any reason to question A You know, I can't recall if I -- as I that -- the accuracy of that sentence in the 15 15 said, many things came across my desk then. letter that you sent to Mr. Gregory in Exhibit 4? 16 16 Q I understand. But you signed Exhibit 4 17 17 and sent it to Mr. Gregory; correct? 18 18 A Yes. 19 19 Q And you stated in Exhibit 4 that Kroger decision. 20 20 has supported the animal certified -- animal care

A Once again, referring to Exhibit 1, it says -- it says that in Exhibit 1. So no, I don't have it -- I don't question Kroger's 21 Q Well, you don't question the accuracy 22 of that sentence in Defendant's Exhibit 4; do 23 vou? 24 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Asked and 25 answered.

24

25

certified program from the very beginning.

A It stated that in the letter, yes.

That's the first sentence in the fifth paragraph.

Q And do you have any doubt about the

Page 47

Page 48

Page 49 1 A Yeah, I have no reason to question what 1 2 Kroger said. 2 Q 3 Q Well, do you have any reason to 3 question what you said in Exhibit 4 and signed 4 5 your name to? 5 A If it's the same thing that Kroger 6 6 said, no, I don't. 7 7

Q Well, does it appear to you to be the same thing that Kroger said? A Yes.

Q So you have no reason to question the

12 sentence in Defendant's Exhibit 4 that states, "We were instrumental in seeing that the Food 14 Marketing Institute assemble a panel of leading 15 national experts in animal welfare practices"?

A Yes.

8

9

10

11

16

25

2 3

8 9

17

17 Q In the third paragraph in

18 Defendant's -- or in Exhibit 4 it states, "The

19 Animal Care Certified program allows customers to 19

20 know that science-based animal welfare standards 20 are being used." Do you have any reason to

22 question that sentence in Exhibit 4?

A No. 23

24 Q Right before that --

Why was it important for customers to

Not when it was written, no.

Well, would there be some other time when you might question the accuracy of that sentence?

A No. But I can only refer to the time when it was written.

Q I understand. But I'm just -- you know, when you couch it in that way, it sounds like perhaps you have reason to believe that at some different point in time that sentence would 11 not have been correct.

12 A The only -- the only reason why I 13 answer like that is because it was eight years 14 ago. So I can't speak for anything that's 15 happened after that time.

Q I understand. But you don't have any 17 reason sitting here today to have a particular reason to question the accuracy of that sentence?

A No.

16

25

5

6

7

8

13

15

19

Q Do you have a recollection or does this refresh your recollection that at some point in 21 22 time prior to August of 2005 there was not a uniform set of requirements and that that was 23 causing problems in the egg industry? 24

A I will refer back to Exhibit 3 where

Page 50

know that the science-based animal welfare standards were being used?

A It would be speculative of me to say 4 why Kroger felt that was important. I think you 5 have to refer back to Kroger's response in their 6 corporate news release. I don't -- I don't speak 7 for Kroger retail. My function was to procure eggs, not to sell them.

Q Let's take a look at the rest of that 10 third paragraph. It says, "First, it is 11 important for Kroger to meet the needs of our 12 customers. Animal welfare is important to many 13 consumers." Do you have any reason to guestion 14 those words which you wrote to Mr. Gregory in 15 Exhibit 4?

16 A No.

Q In the next paragraph down you state, 18 "One benefit of the Animal Care Certified program 19 is that it provides a uniform set of requirements 20 for all participating egg producers, allowing for 21 the free flow of product." Do you see that 22 sentence?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Do you have any reason to question the 25 accuracy of that sentence in Exhibit 4?

Page 52

Page 51

1 Lisa Beth talked about the guidelines placing top 2 priority on comfort, health, and safety of chickens, and just basically say I supported my

4 supervisor's positions.

Q Are there any --

We've gone over some of the sentences in Exhibit 4. Are there any sentences in Exhibit 4 that you question the accuracy of?

9 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Overly broad. You can answer if you know. 10

A You know, I really -- I'm looking at it 11 after eight years. I don't know. 12

Q But you don't have any reason to 14 question --

A I don't have any recollection of it.

16 Q You don't have any reason to question the accuracy of any of the other sentences in 17 Exhibit 4? 18

A No.

20 MS. LEVIN: Let's mark as Exhibit 5 a 21 document bearing the Bates No. MPS-00087588.

22 (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for 23 identification.)

Q And again, Mr. Stull, if you'll let me 24 25 know when you've had a chance to review Exhibit

Page 53 Page 55 5, I would appreciate it. standards. Do you see that sentence? 1 2 A I've read it. 2 A Yes. 3 Q You've had a chance to review 3 Was it correct that Kroger required all of its egg -- shell egg suppliers to adopt the 4 Defendant's -- I keep calling it Defendant's 5 Exhibit -- Exhibit 5? animal welfare standards referenced in this A Yes. 6 document? 6 Q What is Exhibit 5? 7 7 A Yes. 8 A It appears to be an animal welfare 8 Q And the next sentence -- the next 9 paragraph, I'm sorry, of Exhibit 5, the first policy. 9 10 Q And do you have any idea who would have 10 sentence states, "We believe this joint industry effort with retailers and restaurants working prepared Exhibit 5? 11 A No. together with leading animal welfare experts will 12 make more progress in the humane treatment of 13 Q Have you ever seen Exhibit 5 before? 14 A Not that I recall. animals than any company could achieve by acting 15 Q Let's take a look at the very first 15 alone." 16 sentence where it states, "Kroger was one of the 16 Do you see that sentence? first major supermarket companies to adopt 17 A Yes, I do. 18 meaningful animal welfare guidelines." Do you Q Do you have any reason to doubt the 18 19 see that? 19 accuracy of that sentence? 20 A Yes, I do. 20 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Calls for 21 Q Do you have any reason to doubt the 21 speculation. 22 accuracy of that statement? 22 A I could -- I could speculate and say MR. MURRAY: Objection. Lack of 23 23 ves. foundation. You can answer if you know. 24 24 Q Let's talk a little bit about shell egg A I would say probably I would need to 25 25 procurement --Page 56 know where this came from. But if it was put out A Okay. 1 by a senior Kroger person, I wouldn't doubt it. 2 2 Q To make sure I understand --Q Well, in the second paragraph it 3 3 MR. MURRAY: If we're switching gears, states, "In 2001, Kroger began working closely 4 why don't we take a break. We've been going 5 with the Food Marketing Institute and the about an hour. 6 National Council of Chain Restaurants to develop 6 MS. LEVIN: That's fine. 7 an industry-wide program that would introduce 7 THE WITNESS: That's a good idea. 8 science-based guidelines to strengthen animal THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 8 9 welfare practices across species." Do you see record. The time is 10:44 a.m. 9 that? 10 10 (A recess was taken.) 11 A Yes. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on 11 12 Do you have any reason to question the the record. The time is -- this is the beginning accuracy of that sentence? 13 of Tape 2. The time is 10:58 a.m., and we are 14 A No. back on the record. 14 15 MR. MURRAY: Same objection. Q Mr. Stull, I'd like to turn now to your 15 16 A No. responsibilities for egg procurement, and how the Q In fact it's consistent with your procurement process worked, if you recall. 17 17 letter that is contained in Exhibit 4; is it not? 18 18 A Okay. 19 A Yes. 19 Q What do you recall just generally about Q It further states that "Kroger" -- and 20 procurement process for shell eggs? 20 21 this is on -- in that same second paragraph of 21 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Overly broad. 22 Exhibit 5, Kroger requires all of our suppliers 22 You can answer. 23 to adopt these "best practices" animal welfare 23 A Quality was number one. 24 standards for Krogers, and monitors -- and Kroger Q Quality was number one in terms of your 24 25 monitors our suppliers for compliance with these 25 selection of a supplier? Is that what you're

Page 57 Page 59 1 saving? 1 A I don't recall exactly. I think the 2 A All suppliers had to match up to a list that Lisa Beth had on her -- in that exhibit 3 quality standard, a Kroger quality standard. 3 probably has all the divisions. Q How did you go about obtaining Q In Exhibit 3? 4 4 5 suppliers? 5 Α Yes. A There's a very limited pool of Q So there was an Atlanta, Cincinnati, 6 6 suppliers, so I contracted with them. Central, Delta, Columbus, Mid-South, Michigan, 7 7 Q How did you go about reaching the point Mid-Atlantic, and Southwest Division in terms of 8 8 where you were going to contract with a supplier? 9 regions? 9 A I would solicit bids. 10 10 A Correct. Q Did you issue a request for proposals 11 Q And then there were divisions under 11 or request for bids? certain -- certain banners, Dillon, King Soopers, 12 12 A Mm-hmm. Fry, and so forth? 13 13 14 Q Is that a "yes"? 14 A Yes. MR. MURRAY: Got to say "yes" or "no." 15 15 Q And so the bids that were being A I'm sorry. Yes. solicited for the divisions that are by cities or 16 16 17 Q Okay. Can you tell me how that process 17 sections of the country were not for the supply worked? of eggs to these particular banner divisions such 18 19 A On an annual basis I would solicit as King Soopers, Fry's, and Ralph's. Is that correct? 20 quotes from suppliers. 20 21 Q On an annual basis? 21 A Yes. Yes. 22 A Uh-huh. 22 Q So you would --But there was always the possibility. 23 Q And were the quotes for nationwide 23 I mean they could cross over. 24 supply of eggs? 24 25 No single supplier could supply 25 Okay. So when you solicited bids for Page 58 nationwide. the King Soopers division, was that for all King 1 2 Q So the requests were for regional 2 Soopers stores regardless of location? A Yes. 3 quotes? 3 Q Does King Soopers operate in any 4 A Yes. 4 particular geographic region? 5 Q How many regions were there? Roughly? A If you look at the list of chains, and A Yes. 6 6 you look at where they're located, I think Kroger 7 7 Q What region is that? at that time had stores in 34 states. And so you A Colorado. Denver, primarily, I think 8 had -- you had regions that were all over, from is King Soopers. 9 10 coast to coast. 10 Q And does Fry's --King Soopers has its own label. It's Q So when you issued a request for 11 11 not a Kroger label. It's a King Sooper label. 12 proposal, or request for bid -- RFP I usually 13 call it -- it was for a particular region; is Q For eggs, for shell eggs? But you 13 solicited bids for the supply of eggs to King 14 that correct? Soopers separately from the supply of eggs to 15 Α Yes. Q And was that request, the RFP, to 16 other stores? 16 supply all of the stores that operated -- all the 17 A Yes. 17 Kroger stores that operated in that region Q And those eggs appeared in the King 18 regardless of the banner? Soopers stores under the King Sooper label? 19 19 A No. Not necessarily. A Yes. 20 20 Q How did that work then? 21 21 Q Did Kroger supply the packaging for A I would have -- I would pick a supplier 22 those eggs? 22 23 to handle a division. For example, Atlanta is a 23 A Yes. division. Or Indianapolis is a division. 24 Q Okay. And is the same true for Fry's 24 25 Q How many divisions were there? division, that is you solicited separate

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 392-69 Filed: 10/23/23 Page 17 of 57 PageID #:17745 Page 61 Page 63 proposals for supply of eggs to the Fry's it I did and some of it was done directly. 2 division? 2 Q But you believe that Food 4 Less may 3 A I can't remember exactly if I did or have done its own shell egg procurement during not. The only reason why I'm saying that, I -the time you were employed by Kroger? 4 5 some of the -- some of the stores were in close 5 A I did some of it. I know I did. But proximity to each other. I -- some of it was done directly by the 6 7 Q What do you mean, some of the stores? 7 division. A Well, some of the divisions. 8 8 Q When you did the procurement for Q Where does Fry's have stores? Food 4 Less, was a separate RFP issued 9 9 10 A I can't tell you that. I don't know. specifically for the Food 4 Less division supply I don't remember that anymore. 11 contract? 11 Q Do you remember roughly what region of 12 A I'm trying to think back if they had 12 the country Fry's operated in? 13 their own packaging or not, and I can't recall if 13 14 A No, I do not. 14 they did. If they had their own packaging, I would have done a separate bid for them, but I 15 Q What about Ralph's? Do you recall what 15 region of the country Ralph's operated in? don't recall that. 16 17 A Yes, I do. 17 Q If they didn't have their own Q Where? packaging, what would the packaging appear like 18 18 19 A California. Southern California. in the store? What kind of packaging would they 20 Q And did you solicit eggs -- separate 20 have? 21 RFPs for the supply of shell eggs to the Ralph's 21 A Would you restate that, what you mean? 22 Division? 22 Q Well, you've testified, for example, that the King Soopers had its own packaging. 23 A Yes. 23 24 Q Where does Food 4 Less operate? 24 A Correct. A (No response) 25 And so the eggs that were sold to King 25 Page 62 Page 64 Soopers appeared in cartons that specifically Q Or where did Food 4 Less operate? 1 said King Soopers. 2 2 A They -- that just started when I was going to the end of my Kroger career. I know 3 A Correct. 3 Q If Food 4 Less did not have its own they're in Chicago area, but I can't really tell 4 5 you much about them because I didn't do much with packaging, what sort of packaging did it use? A Oh, I see what you mean. It would have 6 them. 6

7 Q This Exhibit 3 that has the term Food 4 Less in it is dated October 2002. 8

9 A Right.

Q Which is four years before you left; 10

correct? Or three and a half years? 11

A Three years. Mm-hmm.

Q So you had three years of working with 13

14 Food 4 Less; correct?

15 A You know, I'm not -- I can't answer 16 that with any degree of certainty because I think there were other suppliers in place at the time 17 that were not through the Kroger procurement. 18 19 Q Can you explain what you mean by that? A Some of the divisions had their own 20 21 procurement. Q So you didn't do the procurement for 22

certain of the divisions that appear in Exhibit 3? 24

25

12

A Yes. Some of the procurement. Some of

7 been a Kroger label.

Q And if you did the procurement for 8 Food 4 Less, would that have been under the Kroger label? 10

A The part that I did for Food 4 Less 11 would be -- would have been under a Kroger label. They had other labels that they solicited and got 14 themselves directly.

Q Do you know what labels those were? 15

16 A I can't recall.

Q Would the labels have been the labels 17

for the suppliers supplying the eggs? 18

MR. MURRAY: Objection. Calls for 19 20 speculation.

21 A Yeah, I --

22 Q You just don't recall?

> A I don't recall because it wasn't part of my service.

24 25

23

So Food 4 Less would have sold eggs

9

under the Kroger label and under some other

- 2 unspecified labels, perhaps?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q What about the Smith's division, where
- 5 did that operate?
- 6 A (No response)
- 7 Q Do you recall?
- 8 A I can't recall.
- 9 Q Do you recall generally the region of
- 10 the country?
- 11 A Honestly, no, I do not.
- 12 Q Did you do separate RFP for procurement
- 13 of shell eggs for the Smith's division?
- 14 A I can't recall if I did or not.
- 15 Q What about the Fred Meyer division, do
- 16 you know where that operated?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Where did that operate?
- 19 A The Northwest.
- 20 Q And did you do separate RFPs for the
- 21 procurement of shell eggs for the Fred Meyer
- 22 division?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Now we've been talking about shell
- 25 eggs. I just wanted to clarify my understanding.

1

7

14

15

17

20

23

- 1 You did no procurement for egg products; is that 2 correct?
- 3 A Not -- not in later years. As I stated
- 4 earlier, at one point I was the sale manager for
- 5 a Kroger breaking plant before, so --
- 6 Q When was that?
- 7 A I don't recall. I can't remember the
- 8 years. It was a very short time.
- 9 Q Was it prior to 1999?
- 10 A I can't recall.
- 11 Q When you were the -- when you were
- 12 working at the breaker plant, what exactly were
- 13 your responsibilities?
 - A I was the sales manager.
- 15 Q So, again, you were responsible for the
- 16 procurement of egg products; is that what you
- 17 did?

14

- 18 A No.
- 19 Q What did you do?
- 20 A I monitored the sales to Kroger.
- 21 Q What do you mean, monitored the sales?
- 22 A You know, I have to tell you, when I
- 23 explained that I was only there for three months
- 24 and then the plant closed, I was mostly learning
- 25 the ropes. I really -- I really can't say what I

Page 65

1 did there because I wasn't there long enough to 2 do much.

3 Q But it had something to do with egg 4 products?

5 A It was a breaker plant that supplied 6 egg products.

7 Q Did it have anything to do with the 8 procurement of egg products?

A Not that I can recall.

10 Q There are several other divisions

11 listed on Exhibit 3 that are all geographic

12 divisions. And rather than go through them one

13 by one, I would ask whether you issued separate

14 RFPs for the provision of shell eggs for each of

15 those divisions?

16 A Some of the divisions I used one 17 supplier for. We had -- it depended on -- like

18 Cincinnati and Central are very close together,

19 so I would use one supplier. I would solicit

20 both of those with one supplier.

21 Q And when you solicited an R -- when you 22 issued an RFP for the supply of shell eggs to the

23 Cincinnati and Central divisions, was that for

24 all Kroger stores regardless of the banner they

25 were operating under?

Page 68

- A No. Those would just be Kroger label.
- 2 These are Kroger label divisions.
- 3 Q So for all of these divisions that are
- 4 described as geographic regions in Exhibit 3, it
- 5 was for the supply of shell eggs under the Kroger
- 6 label; correct?
 - A That's correct.
- 8 Q And only to stores operating under the
- Kroger banner? Is that correct?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 Q Are there stores in those regions that
- 12 operate -- that are owned by Kroger and operate
- 13 under a different banner?
 - A I can't recall.
 - Q So when you issued -- I assume you
- 16 issued an RF -- you issued an RFP; correct?
 - A Would you explain what an R --
- 18 Q A request for proposal.
- 19 A Okay. Yes.
 - Q And what kind of information was
- 21 contained in an RFP? Can you explain how that
- 22 works?
 - A I would offer -- for an example,
- 24 Cincinnati division, I would say for all the
 - 5 sales, eggs, procurement, to go to that division,

1

7

9

18

you know, it's up for grabs who wants it,

- basically, and put it out for bid. 2
- 3 Q How did you go about disseminating the RFP? 4
- 5 A Supply and demand.
- Q Well, I mean did you have particular 6 suppliers that you sent it to? Or potential 7 8 suppliers?
- A I would send it to supply -- any 9 supplier was allowed to bid on anything. 10
- Q Well, I understand that. I'm trying to 11 12 figure out how the supplier learned that the 13 opportunity to bid was available?
- 14 A Oh, okay. Well, the -- I would contact 15 them and say, you know, bid's up in this
- 16 particular division, soliciting bids for
- 17 Cincinnati division, and then I would get bids
- 18 in, competitive bidding, in from different 19 suppliers.
- 20 Q Did you have a list of potential suppliers that you selected to send the RFP to? 21
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Did the RFP contain any information 23
- about Kroger's requirements for shell eggs?
- A Yes -- yes. Quality requirements. 25

Page 69 Q So Kroger would sample, take a certain

- sampling of an egg shipment to satisfy itself
- that the shipment met the requirements for Grade

Page 71

Page 72

- 4 A eggs?
- 5 A Yes. But we also did supplier visits.
- 6 Q Excuse me?
 - A We did -- we would go to a supplier.
- Remember when we talked about Anita? 8
 - Q That's what Ms. Graffiti --
- 10 Α Yes.
- Q -- did? 11
- A Correct. 12
- 13 So you said that one of your criteria
- 14 for selection was quality. Were there any other
 - criteria for selection of a supplier?
- 16 A Price.
- Q Anything else? 17
 - A That was pretty much it.
- 19 Q Was there any weight placed on
- reliability of the supplier? 20
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q What about customer service of the
- 23 supplier?
- A As with any supplier of any product, 24
- you expect service and you expect customer

Page 70

- Q And do you recall what types of 1 requirements were in the RFP? 2
- 3 A Yes. Quality.
- Q And what do you mean by quality? 4
- A Kroger had standards on how many A 5
- 6 Grade eggs are in per 100-egg sample or per
- carton and has a requirement of how many checks, 7 7
- 8 or cracked eggs, can be in a carton. What the
- interior quality is, whether they're -- they have 10 to be A Grade eggs.
- Q How do you measure interior quality of 11 12 the egg?
- 13 A Well, you take an egg and you put it in
- 14 front of a candling light and you twirl it, and
- you watch where that -- watch that yolk go
- 16 around, and it tells you -- it comes right up to 17 the surface, it tells you that's not an A Grade
- 18 egg. If it stays kind of down in there sort of,
- 19 you're pretty sure it's an A Grade egg. You look
- 20 at the air cell to determine if it's expanded or
- 21 not. A small, tight air cell says they're A or
- 22 double A quality. If the air cell is a little 23 bigger, it can still be an A, and then it gets
- 24 into a B, and then it gets into ones you don't
- 25 want.

- service. 1
 - 2 Q Was there a requirement that the
 - 3 suppliers of shell eggs meet the UEP animal welfare guidelines?
 - A I can't recall specifically if there 5
 - was or not. I think at the time most suppliers 6 were doing it.
 - Q Okay. Well, we'll take a look at some 8 of the documents to see if it refreshes your 10 recollection.
 - 11 Do you recall -- I don't know if you
 - 12 know who the defendants are in the case. Are you
 - 13 aware of what egg producers are defendants in
 - 14 this litigation?
 - A The only information I have is what you 15
 - sent me on that. 16

17

20

- Q Okay. Do you recall what suppliers
- 18 Kroger bought from while you were the egg
- procurement manager? 19
 - A Most of them. I think.
- Q Can you tell me the ones you recall? 21
- A Midwest Poultry. Cal-Maine Foods. 22
- 23 Rose Acres. And then Norco in California.
- 24 Q Norco, that is part of Moark?
- 25 Yes. I don't think they were then

Page 73 Page 75 but -from them. 1 2 2 Q That are a long stretch from their Q That's okay. Do you recall buying from NuCal? 3 farms --3 4 Α Yes. 4 A I'm trying think of who NuCal was. Did they -- were they up in the Northwest? 5 Q -- the farms where the hens --5 6 Q Sadly I can't tell you. 6 Mm-hmm. Q You need to say "yes." 7 7 A Well, National Foods was one, I think. A I'm sorry. Yes. I forgot. Excuse me. 8 And that's about the stretch of my memory right 8 Right. And would that add an extra 9 9 now. component of transportation cost? Q Okay. Do you recall ever buying any 10 Α shell eggs from Daybreak? 11 Yes. 11 A I probably did at one time or another. 12 Q So what about Midwest? Do you recall 12 what region or divisions Midwest supplied eggs to 13 Q What about RW Sauder? A I don't think so. 14 while you were the manager of egg procurement? 14 A Yes. 15 Q Ohio Fresh? 15 16 Q What were those? 16 A I can't recall that specifically. 17 Q Any Hillandale? Any firm that has the 17 A Cincinnati, Central, Columbus. I'm not name Hillandale in it? sure if Mid-South was one or not. Midwest was 18 A Yes. 19 the most reliable supplier that I had. 19 Q What do you mean they were the most 20 20 Q You recall purchasing from Hillandale? reliable supplier? 21 21 22 A They met the requirements. Consistent 22 Q And what about Sparboe Farms? quality, service. 23 23 Α Q Price? So looking at this list, do you recall 24 24 what divisions or banners you purchased eggs from 25 Α Price. Page 74 Page 76 Hillandale for? Anything else that made them the most 1 1 2 A From Hillandale? reliable supplier? 2 A They would fill in in any division 3 Q Yes. And if it helps to have 3 Defendant's Exhibit -- or Exhibit 3 in front of where I needed extra help. Q What do you mean by that? you to refresh your memory as to what all the 5 divisions were, feel free to look back. A I was not in charge of retail, and so I 6 6 A I'm looking at it right now. did not set any retail prices or have any input 7 You know, I can't remember specifically in retail pricing. Sometimes the division would 8 where Hillandale went. I would guess it would be have a feature going, and the normal supplier somewhere close to their geographic area. couldn't handle the volume. Then I would have to 10 10 Q Is it generally the case that egg find other supplier sources to fill that volume. 11 11 suppliers serve customers that are in some sort 12 12 Q So when you say a division had a -- did of geographic proximity to their farms? you say a feature going? 13 13 A Uh-huh. 14 A Pretty much. 14 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Vague. You Q So if they had what might be described 15 15 16 as a promotional price, for example --16 can answer. THE WITNESS: Okay. 17 A Right. 17 A Pretty much. 18 Q -- to try to push volume, as a result 18 Q Do you know roughly what that you might need extra eggs for that division --19 19 geographic reach might be? A Yes. 20 20 A By supplier? 21 21 Q -- beyond what your incumbent supplier Q No, just if there's a rule of thumb. 22 22 could supply. No more than 500 miles? A thousand miles? 23 A Yes. A No. No. I mean reliable suppliers Q Is that correct? So you would turn to 24 24 could have business that would be a long stretch 25 Midwest for that additional supply?

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 392-69 Filed: 10/23/23 Page 21 of 57 PageID #:17749 Page 77 Page 79 1 A Yes, among others. an annual basis, and it's hard for me to say 2 Q Right. But this came up because you 2 specifically who was. I know the Midwest 3 mentioned Midwest in this context. 3 divisions because they had been a supplier for me Yes. for years. Some of the other suppliers switched. 4 Α 5 Q When Midwest filled in that additional So the answer to your question, no, I can't. Q Where is the Delta Division? 6 supply, was the pricing done in accordance with 6 the existing Midwest contract? 7 A In the South. 7 Yes. 8 8 Α Q Do you know roughly where in the South? A Nashville. That's a guess. It's --9 Q Okay. Let's go back to Cal-Maine. Do 9 you recall what regions Cal-Maine provided eggs it's been a long time since I've thought about 11 for? these things. 11 12 12 Q I understand. You're doing remarkably A In looking at the list of divisions, I would say Mid-South, because that's well, I think, on any number of points. 13 13 geographically closer to their operation. 14 You mentioned that suppliers changed. Was Cal-Maine the supplier for the Mid-South for Q So Cal-Maine provided -- if you're 15 15 16 correct that it was Mid-South -- provided the the entire time period from say 2000 to 2006? requirements for -- Kroger's requirements for 17 17 A I can't answer that. shell eggs to the Kroger stores in the Mid-South? 18 You can't answer. 18 19 A Yes. 19 A I don't know. I can't recall. Q You mentioned that you sometimes 20 Q But not for any other stores, just the 20 Kroger banner stores? switched suppliers; correct? 21 21 22 A As far as I can recall, yes. 22 A Yes. Q What about Rose Acre? 23 23 Q For a given division? What factors did Rose Acres would supply a division. you take into account when you switched 24 Α 25 Q Excuse me? 25 suppliers? Page 78 Page 80 They would supply a division and do A As I said before, quality, price, 1 Α 1 2 fill-in. 2 service. 3 Q Do you recall what division they 3 Q When you switched suppliers, was that as a result of the RFP process? I guess what I'm 4 supplied? asking, did you ever get halfway through a 5 A No. No, I'm sorry, I don't. Q What about Norco/Moark? contract with a supplier and say, "Boy, did I 6 6 make a wrong call here. We need to switch 7 A In what? 7 Q What division do you recall? Owhat suppliers"? 8 8 division --9 MR. MURRAY: Objection to the form of 9 the question. 10 A Ralph's. 10 Q Any other divisions? 11 11 A You know, I can't recall that I ever A Not that I can recall. did that. 12 12 Q What about National? Do you recall 13 13 MS. LEVIN: So I'd like to mark as 14 what division National supplied? 14 Exhibit 6 a document bearing Bates No. A Fred Meyer and QFC. KRGEG00018733 through 18738. 15 15 16 Q Any other divisions for National? 16 (Deposition Exhibit 6 was marked for 17 A No. 17 identification.) So there are a few divisions here on 18 Q And Mr. Stull, if you'll let me know 18 19 this list that you haven't mentioned. Do you when you've had an opportunity to review 19 recall who the supplier was for the Atlanta Defendant's Exhibit 6. 20

21

22

24

25

A All right.

Yes.

23 Exhibit 6, Mr. Stull?

Α

O

Q You've had a chance to review

What is Exhibit 6?

eggs was for the Delta Division?

Q Do you recall who the supplier of shell

A Understand that I changed suppliers on

Division?

A No.

21

22

23

24

25

Page 81 pursuant to Exhibit 6 have been under or packaged 1 A It's a request for proposal --2 in accordance with each of those banners' 2 proposal. It was a Global Net Xchange bid. Q It's dated December 1, 2003; is that 3 specified packaging? 3 A I can't reply -- I'm not sure. There 4 4 correct? may have been one banner used in all the stores, 5 A December 10? Oh, yeah, December 1, I'm but I can't -- I can't recall. 6 sorry, 2003. 7 7 Q And on the last page of Exhibit 6, the Q If you turn to the third page of Exhibit 6, it references in paragraph numbered 8, name "Gary" appears. Do you see that? 8 "Packaging material: All pricing includes 9 A Yes. 10 packaging material purchased from the Kroger 10 Q Is that you? Company as follows." Do you see that? 11 A It's an electronic print. I would 11 Α Yes. assume it's me, but it's not my signature 12 12 O Did Kroger require all of its shell egg 13 obviously. 13 Q I understand it's not your signature, 14 suppliers to purchase packaging from Kroger? 14 but were you responsible for preparing RFPs? 15 A Yes. 15 Q And the price was -- that the bidder 16 A Yes. 16 Q And you were responsible for preparing 17 submitted was to include as a part of its price 17 the cost of the packaging that it would be specifically Exhibit 6; is that correct? 18 A No, I did not prepare Exhibit 6. 19 required to purchase from Kroger? 19 A As I read No. 8 it says, "all pricing 20 20 Who prepared Exhibit 6? includes packaging material purchased from A I believe that was a corporate 21 21 22 Kroger Co. as follows." preparer. These were -- these were -- it was Q Do you know whether the price at which 23 prepared somewhere within the Kroger system. But Kroger sold the packaging material to the shell 24 I can't tell you -- this was probably the first 24 Global Net Xchange bid. egg producer included a profit for Kroger? 25 Page 82 Page 84 1 Q Can you explain what a Global Net 1 A I can't recall. Xchange is, or was in 2003? 2 2 Q Can you explain what these references A I can tell you what it says here. It 3 are? 2X6 @ 76.50/M; do you know what that means? 3 says suppliers will submit pricing via an online 4 A Oh. Those -- that would be a 4 negotiation process hosted by the Global Net two-by-six carton, or a three-by-six carton, that 6 Xchange. That's -- I mean, that's what it was. would have the numbers of eggs that would be in 7 It just went on -- and there was a time frame for 7 the carton. 8 bids to be taken; and, as it said, it opens at Q What's a two-by-six? 8 2:00 p.m. on the 10th and closes at 3:00 p.m. on 9 That's a standard one-dozen carton. 10 the 10th. 10 Is that perforated in the middle so you Q So the Global Net Xchange was an online 11 can have two cartons of six each? 11 process for producers to submit bids to fulfill 12 A Well, it just means there's two columns 13 the contract that RFP -- or Exhibit 6 was issued 13 of six eggs on each side for a total of 12 eggs 14 for? in a dozen. 15 A Correct. 15 Q And three-by-six would be one and a Q And Exhibit 6 references request for 16 half dozen? 16 proposal of the Kroger Company eggs, Ralph's, 17 17 A Correct. Cala Bell, FoodsCo, and Food 4 Less. Do you see 18 Q What about 6ct? that at the top? 19 19 A Six count. That would be a six-pack. A Yes. 20 20 Instead of splitting them, it's a separate 21 Q Were those -- Ralph's, Cala Bell, 21 six-pack. 22 FoodsCo, and Food 4 Less -- banners under which 22 Q Six eggs? the Kroger Company operated in 2003? 23 Correct. Yes. Α 24 24 And what's Jumbo? 25 Would the eggs that were to be supplied 25 That would be a one dozen jumbo size

eggs carton. 1

- 2 Q And what about a sleeve? What's that?
- 3 A A sleeve would vary. It would either
- 4 be two dozen or two and a half dozen eggs.
- 5 Q Okay. And then with the pricing it
- 6 says at 76.50/M. Do you know what that means in
- 7 terms of the price for the two-by-six?
- 8 A The M refers to per thousand.
- 9 Q So the price that the shell egg
- producer needed to incorporate into its price for 10
- the eggs was 76.50 per thousand for eggs packaged 11
- in a two-by-six carton. Is that correct? 12
- 13 A That's correct.
- Q The next paragraph says, "All quotes 14
- 15 are based on the Midwest Urner Barry white egg
- quote." Do you see that reference? 16
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q What's the Urner Barry white egg quote?
- A Urner Barry is an egg market that --19
- it's used as a standard for pricing. It's been 20
- around for many, many years. And that's what
- 22 pricing basis is used in the egg industry.
- Q Okay. We'll come back to that a little 23
- bit later. But let's move down to paragraph 13.
- "Animal Welfare Certification: Supplier must show

23

4

- proof they are a 'Certified Company' under UEP 2 guidelines for the 'Animal Husbandry Guidelines
- 3 for U.S. Egg Laying Flocks." Do you see that
- sentence? 4
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q So, again, this was a requirement that
- 7 Kroger imposed on all parties that would be
- bidding in response to Exhibit 6 --8
- 9 MR. MURRAY: Object to the form of the
- 10 question.
- Q -- is that correct? 11
- 12 A As I said, this bid was put together by
- 13 the Kroger Co. I can't answer other than what I
- 14 see right there.
- 15 Q But you were responsible for deciding
- 16 to whom to award the successful -- to whom to
- 17 award the contract that was being solicited by
- 18 Exhibit 6; correct?
- A Yes. 19

25

- And was it your understanding that one 20 Q
- 21 of the things that the successful bidder had to
- 22 show was that it was a certified company under
- 23 UEP guidelines for the animal husbandry
- guidelines for U.S. egg-laying flocks? 24
 - A That's what it states in the bid, yes.

- Page 85 Page 87 1 Q In fact it states on the preceding page
 - of Exhibit 6 under paragraph IV, "The following
 - describes many, but not necessarily all, of the
 - services, agreement terms, and specifications you
 - must include in the response to this RFP";
 - 6 correct?
 - A I'm sorry, what -- what page are you
 - 8 on?

7

- 9 The second page, the page prior to the
- one we were looking at.
- A Okay. 11
- Roman numeral IV. Do you see where it 12
- states, "The following describes many, but not
- necessarily all, of the services, agreement
- terms, and specifications you must include in the
- response to this RFP"? Do you see that sentence?
- 17 Α Yes, I do.
- 18 O And one of the services, agreement
- 19 terms, and specifications the bidder must include
- was proof that it was a certified company under 20
- 21 the UEP guidelines for the animal husbandry
- 22 guidelines for U.S. egg-laving flocks; correct?
 - That's what's in the contract.
- Q 24 And you understood that the successful
- bidder would have to comply with that animal 25

Page 88

- welfare certification; correct? 1
 - 2 MR. MURRAY: Objection.
 - Mischaracterizes his testimony. You can answer. 3
 - The only thing I can refer to is what's
 - written down here. I don't recall anything about 5
 - 6 this.
 - 7 Q Well, the purpose of issuing an RFP is
 - 8 what?
 - 9 A (No response)
 - Q What is the purpose of issuing an RFP? 10
 - To get product. 11 Α
 - To obtain product. And part of what 12 Q
 - you put in your RFP are the terms that you're
 - requiring the successful bidder to meet; correct?
 - A What's in there are the requirements. 15
 - It's not -- I mentioned it. I didn't put this 16
 - particular bid together. This was a -- I 17
 - supplied some of the information, but this is
 - kind of like a standard bid. This is not one of
 - the normal things that I would have done.
 - 20
 - Q But you were responsible for 21
 - 22 determining whether the successful bidder met the
 - requirements set forth in paragraphs 1 through 13 24 of subsection IV of Exhibit 6; correct?
 - 25 Α Yes.

Page 89 Page 91 1 Q Did you ever see an RFP that did not 1 Yes, it is. 2 require the successful bidder to meet the animal 2 What is Pace Dairy? Q 3 welfare certification under the UEP guidelines? 3 A Pace Dairy is a wholly-owned subsidiary A I can't recall. of the Kroger Company. 4 4 5 Q Did you ever receive -- well, let's 5 Q What's the business of Pace Dairy? 6 turn to the last page of Exhibit 6. I see that 6 A It's a cheese processing plant. 7 there, under Conclusion, it states that RFP 7 Q Why is Pace Dairy entering into a questions are to be submitted to you. Do you see contract for the procurement of shell eggs? 8 A At the time that's where my office was. that sentence? 9 9 10 A Yes. 10 And I was -- I was at that time reporting to the Q Did you ever receive any questions manager at Pace Dairy. It just kind of -- when I 11 12 about whether the animal welfare certification 12 was getting close to retirement, I did not want requirements were really something that Kroger to move, and so I stayed in Crawfordsville, 14 Indiana, and I was allowed to stay there. I had 14 required the successful bidder to meet? A I can't recall. 15 my office in Pace Dairy. 15 Q Did you ever tell anybody that the Q Well, was this contract for the supply 16 16 17 animal welfare certification requirements were 17 of shell eggs to Pace Dairy? something that did not need to be met by the A No. 18 19 successful bidder? 19 Q To whom were the eggs purchased under this contract to be supplied? 20 A I can't recall. 20 A They were to supply Kroger divisions in 21 MS. LEVIN: I'd like to mark as Exhibit 21 Atlanta and Phoenix, Arizona. 22 7 a document bearing Bates No. KRGEG0001944422 23 through 19451. Q So Kroger was the purchaser of the 23 shell eggs covered by Exhibit 7; correct? 24 (Deposition Exhibit 7 was marked for 24 identification.) 25 A Correct. 25 Page 90 Page 92 MR. NOVAK: Counsel, this is Paul Q Would -- or do you recall whether 1 1 Novak. While the witness is reviewing the Exhibit 7 was a contract that was awarded 2 pursuant to an RFP? 3 document, could you reread the Bates page 3 numbers? A I would assume it is, yes. 4 4 MS. LEVIN: Sure. KRGEG00019444 Q Did you award contracts other than 5 5 through 451. pursuant to an RFP while you were the general 6 6 manager of egg procurement for Kroger? 7 Okay. There's a little -- yeah. 7 Apparently there were two copies produced of the A I don't recall. 8 8 same document. The one that the witness is 9 Was it generally the case that an RFP looking at is 19436 through 19443. 10 issued prior to the award of contract for the 10 Q Have you completed your review of purchase of shell eggs? 11 11 Exhibit 7, Mr. Stull? 12 12 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Asked and 13 A Yes. answered. 13 Q What is Exhibit 7? 14 14 A I can't recall. A An agreement between Pace Dairy and 15 Q Exhibit 7 states that the volume to be 15 Rose Acre Farms for egg procurement for Atlanta, supplied by Rose Acre Farms to Kroger is to 16 16 Georgia, and Phoenix, Arizona. reflect the total weekly need of the above 17 17 Q And it's to cover the period warehouses referencing Atlanta, Georgia, and 18 19 February 1, 2004 through January 28, 2005; is Phoenix, Arizona. Do you see that? 19 20 that correct? A Yes. 20 21 A That's correct. 21 Q Were the eggs delivered on a weekly --22 Q On page -- it's the fifth page of 22 to be delivered on a weekly basis to those 23 Exhibit 7, Bates No. 19440 -- is that your 23 locations? They were delivered daily. signature on behalf of Pace Dairy Foods of 24 Α 25 Indiana? 25 Then what is the reference to the total

weekly needs of the above warehouses? 1

- 2 A To supply on a daily basis what they 3 need on a weekly period of time.
- Q Well, was an estimate provided to Rose 4 5 Acre Farms for the amount that you would need on a weekly basis? 6
- A We could work -- we worked off prior 7 sales, but, as I said, I had no control over any 8 feature activity. So if a division featured eggs, then the volume would go up, but history
- 11 was used mostly. Q Well, I understand. But I'm just 12 13 trying to understand how the total weekly needs

14 were communicated to Rose Acre -- if they were 15 communicated to Rose Acre.

- A Orders were received on a daily basis 16 17 directly to Rose Acre from the division, and they would have to fill those orders. 18
- 19 Q So the order between -- from Kroger to 20 Rose Acre was a daily order?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And Rose Acre was required to fulfill
- all of Kroger's requirements for the two 23
- warehouses listed in Exhibit 7?
- A Yes. 25

Page 93 1 mean?

9

15

16

17

8

19

2 Just what it says. I mean, I can't 3 recall anything outside of what's written down 4 here.

5 Q Well, do you have any doubt about whether Rose Acre Farms was required to show proof that it was a certified company under the 7 8 UEP guidelines --

Page 95

Page 96

MR. MURRAY: Objection.

10 Q -- by Kroger?

MR. MURRAY: Objection. Lack of 11 foundation. You can answer if you know. 12

A Outside of what you see there, I can't 13 14 answer that.

- Q Did you ever tell Rose Acre that that provision of Exhibit 7 did not need to be complied with?
- 18 A I can't recall.
- 19 Q Well, you don't recall ever telling
- Rose Acre that; do you? 20
- 21 A I can't recall that.
- 22 Q Do you recall ever hearing that anybody else told Rose Acre that it did not need to 23
- comply with the provisions of paragraph XV? 24
- A That's kind of -- it would be 25

Page 94

speculation. I don't recall it.

2 Q Do you know why it is that Kroger would not accept any eggs from Rose Acre Farms that 3 have not been 100 percent produced in these

5 terms?

6 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Lack of foundation. You can answer if you know.

A I can't recall.

9 Let's take a look at page 442, which is O right after your signature. Is that your 10 signature on page 442 of Exhibit 7? 11

12 A Where would I find -- is this the page you were referring to (indicating)? I don't know what 442 is.

15 Q It's the number down at the bottom of the page, on the right-hand corner. 19442. 16

A Oh, I see. I see 1944. There's a hole 17 punch took the 2 off. 18

Q Well, that's a problem.

Is that your signature on page 19442 of 20 21 Exhibit 7?

22 Α Yes, it is.

23 Q And does -- what is page 19442 of

24 Exhibit 7? 25

It's a letter to me from Greg Hinton

- Q But as you've said, if Kroger decided 1 to run a promotion for some time period and the 2
- demand increased, you might have to go to Midwest
- or some other supplier to fill in the additional 4
- 5 volume required?
- A Yes. 6
- 7 Q Let's take a look at the fourth page of
- Exhibit 7. You'll see at the bottom of that page
- there's a paragraph Animal Welfare Certification.
- 10 "Rose Acre Farms must show proof that they are a
- 11 'Certified Company' under the UEP guidelines for
- 12 the 'Animal Husbandry Guidelines for U.S. Egg
- 13 Laying Flocks.' A copy must be attached to this
- 14 contract. Kroger will not accept any eggs from
- 15 Rose Acre Farms that have not been 100 percent
- produced in these terms." Do you see that? 16
- 17 A Yes.
- Q Was it your understanding that Rose 18
- 19 Acre was in fact required to show proof that it
- was a certified company under the UEP guidelines
- 21 that are referenced in paragraph XV?
- A I read there that Rose Acre Farms must 22 show proof that they are a certified company.
- Q Well, this is a contract that you 24
- signed. What did you understand that sentence to

Page 97 outlining a new ledger account pricing structure. 1 MR. MURRAY: Objection to the form of 2 the question. Calls for a legal conclusion. You 2 Q 19442, are we looking at the right 3 can answer if you know. 3 page? A I can't recall. I mean, it refers to 4 4 I don't think you're looking at 19442. I think you're looking at 19444 which is the last 5 the contract. 5 Q Well, the original contract, as you 6 page of the exhibit. 6 have already agreed, the contract dated 7 A Oh, okay. Okay. 7 February 1, 2004, through January 28, 2005, in 8 Q If you can turn to that page. fact required Rose Acre Farms to show proof that 9 A I'm sorry. Q Do you have a page 19442? it was a certified company under the UEP 10 quidelines; correct? A I don't -- yes. I do. I see it now. 11 11 Q At the bottom of the page? MR. MURRAY: Object to the form of the 12 12 13 question. Misstates his testimony. You can 13 A I see it, yeah. I'm sorry. Q And is that your signature on page 14 answer if you know. 14 A I can only refer to what it says in the 15 19442? 15 print here. I don't recall anything outside of 16 A Yes. 17 Q And what is page 19442 of Exhibit 7? 17 this because it was --Q But that's what it says --A It's a letter that extends all 18 18 conditions and terms of the previous agreement 19 A -- back then. Yes. 19 between the Kroger Co. and Rose Acre Farms and in 20 Q -- Rose Acre Farms must show proof; effect until January 28th, 2005. 21 correct? 21 22 22 Q Okay. And let's go to the next page, A Referring back to --Q Paragraph XV of the February --23 23 19443. A XV? Yes, that's what it says there. 24 24 A Now I know where to look. 25 Q Okay. And for the two contract 25 Q I think you may have gone -- well, what Page 98 Page 100 are you looking at? 1 extensions, until January 28, 2006, and then the 1 A Well, I don't know because I've got --2 2 second one until January 31, 2007, both required 3 I've got 1944- and a hole punch. that all conditions and terms of the previous Q Well, do you see a page that's 4 agreement remain in effect; correct? October 17, 2005? 5 5 A Yes. 6 A Yes. 6 MS. LEVIN: I'd like to mark two Q That's the page I want to be looking 7 7 documents, Exhibits 8 and 9. And Exhibit 8 will 8 be the document bearing Bates No. KRGEG00020141, at. 9 Is that your signature on the page and Exhibit 9 will be the document bearing Bates captioned "October 17, 2005"? No. KRGEG00019397. 10 10 A Yes. 11 (Deposition Exhibits 8 and 9 were 11 12 And what is that page? 12 marked for identification.) A This is a letter that will extend the 13 Q Have you had an opportunity to review 13 existing contract until January 31st, 2007. 14 Exhibits 8 and 9, Mr. Stull? 14 Q So, again, all terms and pricings 15 15 A Yes. remain unchanged; correct? 16 16 MS. LEVIN: And I will apologize, A Correct. 17 17 there's some highlighting on them that I'm quite Q So for the time period February 1, 2004 18 sure did not appear in the originals, and we 18 19 through January 31, 2007, Kroger's contract with 19 can -- if people want, we can substitute 20 Rose Acre Farms required that Rose Acre Farms unhighlighted copies at a later date. I'm not 20 21 show proof it was a certified company under the 21 sure that it's particularly illuminating. 22 UEP animal welfare guidelines and further Q What is Defendant's -- what is Exhibit 22 23 required that all eggs from Rose Acre Farms be 23 8? 24 100 percent produced in accordance with those 24 A It says it's a certification for eggs 25 guidelines. Is that correct? produced by animal husbandry certified company

Page 101 Page 103 Rose Acre Farms. 1 Α Yes. 1 2 Q And the date is April 1, 2002; is that 2 Q And is there a division that the 3 correct? 3 Phoenix, Arizona, warehouse corresponds to on A Yes. 4 Exhibit 3? 5 Q And what is Exhibit 9? 5 A Yes. Q Which division is that? 6 6 A The -- basically the same thing. 7 Q But a different date? 7 A That would be Fry's. Q Okay. You can put Exhibit 7 to one 8 A But a different date. 8 side. But do keep Exhibit 3 out because I'll 9 Q What is the date of Exhibit 9? 9 10 A June 27, 2006. have the same question about some other Q Did Kroger require its shell egg contracts. 11 11 suppliers to provide this sort of certification 12 So what is Exhibit 10? 12 that's represented in Exhibits 8 and 9? 13 A An agreement between Cal-Maine Foods 13 14 MR. MURRAY: I'm going to object to 14 and Pace Dairy for supplying the eggs used to 15 Exhibit 9. This is a document that's dated after Kroger at the warehouse location in Memphis, he had retired from Kroger. You can answer. Tennessee. 16 17 A I don't recall ever seeing it. 17 Q So we can get this out of the way now. Q You don't recall ever requiring the Is there a division that the Memphis, Tennessee, 18 18 submission of a certificate like that in Exhibit 19 19 warehouse corresponds to on Exhibit 3? 20 A I think it's Mid-South. I'm not a 20 8 or 9? 21 A No, I don't -- I don't recall. 21 hundred percent sure right now, but I think it 22 Q Do you know whether anybody at Kroger 22 is. 23 was in charge of ensuring that shell egg 23 Q And Exhibit 10 is for the time period suppliers were in compliant with the -- in February 1, 2004, through January 29, 2005? 24 compliance with the UEP animal welfare guideline\$25 A Correct. Page 102 Page 104 for egg-laying flocks? 1 Is that your signature on the last page 1 2 A It would be speculation. 2 of Exhibit 10? Q Let's take a look at a document that I 3 3 A Yes, it is. will mark as Exhibit 10. And it bears Bates No. Q Similar to Exhibit 7, although Exhibit 4 10 is an agreement between Pace Dairy and 5 KREEG00018833 through 36. Cal-Maine Foods, is it correct that it is for the (Deposition Exhibit 10 was marked for 6 supply of shell eggs to Kroger stores via the 7 identification.) Q You'll let me know when you're finished Memphis, Tennessee, warehouse location? 8 8 reviewing Exhibit 10. 9 MR. MURRAY: Objection to the form of 9 I'm sorry to do this, but I'd like to the question. 10 10 rewind just for one minute to Exhibit 7. 11 A Would you restate that, please? 11 A Sure. MS. LEVIN: Can you read it back. 12 12 Q And compare that with Exhibit 3. 13 (The reporter read the requested 13 14 Exhibit 3 is the October 1, 2002, memo 14 question.) from Lisa Beth Miller. You may already have it 15 MR. MURRAY: Same objection. out because we were looking at it earlier. 16 A Yes. 16 A Okay. I do. 17 Q And let's turn to the final page of 17 Q Okay. On Exhibit 7 we mentioned that Exhibit 10 again. Paragraph XIV -- the second 18 18 19 the contract was for the supply of shell eggs to paragraph XIV, I suspect it's supposed to be 19 20 the Atlanta, Georgia, and Phoenix, Arizona, paragraph XV -- Animal Welfare Certification; do 20 21 warehouse locations; correct? you see that paragraph? 21 A Yes, I do. 22 A Yes. 22 23 Q And is the Atlanta, Georgia, warehouse 23 Q And again, the provision reads,

24

24 the warehouse for the Atlanta Division on Exhibit

"Cal-Maine Foods, Inc., must show proof that they

are a 'Certified Company' under the UEP

Page 105 Page 107 1 guidelines for the 'Animal Husbandry Guidelines 1 mean? 2 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Lack of 2 for U.S. Egg Laying Flocks." Do you see that 3 sentence? 3 foundation. You can answer if you know. A Today, you know, my memory was as -- I A Yes, I do. 4 4 don't remember much about my work experience. 5 Q Was it your understanding when you And so, I have no -- I have no -- I mean I'm not signed Exhibit 10 that it was a requirement that interested in what's there today. Cal-Maine -- Cal-Maine Foods comply with the UEP 7 Q Well. I understand. Then let's ask animal welfare guidelines? 8 about the time period. I was trying to make it 9 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Form of the 9 question. You can answer if you know. easier for you. But we can --10 A Oh, I'm sorry. A All I can tell you is what it states 11 11 Q -- go back to 2004. Did you have an 12 12 there. understanding at the time that you signed Exhibit 13 Q Did you read --A My recollection is old. 10 what the meaning of the paragraph relating to 14 animal welfare certification was? 15 Q Did you read contracts before you signed them for Kroger? 16 MR. MURRAY: Object to the form of the 16 A Usually. 17 question. 17 A I can't recall. Q Did you read shell egg procurement 18 18 contracts before you signed them for Kroger? 19 Q Did you ever tell anyone from Cal-Maine 19 20 that the requirements of the provisions of the MR. MURRAY: Objection to the form of 20 animal welfare certification paragraph in Exhibit the question. 21 10 did not need to be complied with? 22 A I can't recall. 22 A I can't recall. Q If you had any questions about the 23 23 You don't recall anybody ever telling terms of a contract that you were signing on 24 Q behalf of Kroger, would you have asked someone anybody from Cal-Maine that, though; do you? Page 106 what they meant? 1 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Asked and 1 2 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Calls for 2 answered. 3 speculation. 3 A I -- I don't recall. Q You have no recollection of stating 4 A I can't -- I can't recall. 4 5 Q You don't recall having any question that to Cal-Maine? about the meaning of paragraph -- the paragraph 6 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Asked and 6 7 pertaining to animal welfare certification when 7 answered. you signed Exhibit 10? 8 A I can't recall it. 8 9 A Those decisions were above my pay 9 Q And could you read the last sentence of grade. In Kroger --10 Exhibit 10. 10 Q I'm not asking who made the decision, "Kroger will not accept any eggs from 11 11 Mr. Stull, I'm asking whether you understood the Cal-Maine Foods, Incorporated, that has not 12 been -- have not been 100 percent produced in concept --13 14 MR. MURRAY: You cut him off. He was 14 these terms." explaining something. 15 Q And the terms are referring to the 15 MS. LEVIN: He's not answering the 16 animal welfare guidelines for UEP; is that 16 correct? 17 question. 17 MR. MURRAY: You cut him off. MR. MURRAY: Objection. Calls for a 18 18 legal conclusion. And misstates the document. 19 MS. LEVIN: Fine. 19 Q Then complete your answer, Mr. Stull. Q Is that your understanding, Mr. --20 20 A It's not -- it's not -- I don't -- I 21 21 Mr. Stull? didn't question Kroger. 22 A I go with what's -- you know, I can 22

24

25

don't recall it.

25 to animal welfare -- welfare certification to

Q Okay. What did you understand -- what

do you today understand the paragraph pertaining

23

only say it's there, what's there is there. I

Q Let's do it this way. Why don't you

Page 109 Page 111 read the entirety of paragraph XIV, Animal 1 MS. LEVIN: I will do as I see fit, 2 Welfare Certification, into the record. 2 Mr. Murray. 3 A Okay. "Animal Welfare Certification. 3 MR. MURRAY: Well, I'm not going to let 4 Cal-Maine Foods, Incorporated, must show proof you -- I'm not going to let you lecture my 4 5 that they are a 'Certified Company' under the UEP client. If you're not asking a question --6 guidelines for the 'Animal Husbandry Guidelines Q Mr. Stull, do you have a recollection 6 7 for U.S. Egg Laying Flocks.' A copy must be 7 of somebody else preparing Exhibit 4? 8 attached to this contract. Kroger will not 8 A No. 9 accept any eggs from Cal-Maine Foods, Q And you signed Exhibit 4; correct? 9 10 Incorporated, that have not been 100 percent 10 That's my signature. produced in these terms." And you believed at the time that you 11 11 Q And you have no understanding of what 12 signed Exhibit 4 that the representations in that 12 that paragraph means; is that your testimony? letter were correct? 13 13 14 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Asked and 14 MR. MURRAY: Objection. 15 answered. 15 Mischaracterizes prior testimony. A I understand what it's saying. A As I -- as I said before, that letter 16 16 17 Q And what do you understand it to be 17 was signed shortly before I retired. A lot of 18 saying? things crossed my desk that I may have -- may 19 A That Cal-Maine Foods, Incorporated, 19 have signed that I really didn't read thoroughly. 20 must show proof that they are a certified company Q Well, we've gone through various 20 under the UEP guidelines for the animal husbandry21 provisions and we've got your testimony on what you thought. Do you have anything to add beyond 22 guidelines for U.S. egg laying flocks. A copy 22 23 must be attached to this contract. Kroger will what you've now said about Exhibit 4? 24 not accept any eggs from Cal-Maine Foods, MR. MURRAY: Objection. Broad. 24 Incorporated, that have not been 100 percent 25 No. Α Page 110 Page 112 produced in these terms. 1 Q And you don't have a recollection of 1 2 Q And that contract provision is in fact somebody else providing you Exhibit 4 and asking 3 consistent with the RFP that we were looking at you to sign it; do you? earlier today as Exhibit 6; correct? 4 A No. 4 5 A Correct. 5 Q Thank you. 6 Q And it's also consistent with the 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel? 7 letter that you sent to Mr. Gregory on 7 MS. LEVIN: Yes? August 2nd, 2005, that is Exhibit --8 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you mind if we do 9 A Regarding that letter -a tape change? We have --9 Q -- 4? 10 MS. LEVIN: No. 10 A -- I think I said at the time that I 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: -- a few minutes 11 12 wasn't really sure that I initiated that letter. 12 left. 13 And I can tell you that's not my style of 13 MS. LEVIN: Okay. Fine. 14 writing. I would assume that somebody sent me 14 MR. MURRAY: Let's do lunch. 15 that letter and asked me to sign it. 15 MS. LEVIN: What time is it? Q Mr. Stull, you have been very reluctant 16 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 17 to assume anything today, and we've instructed 17 record. The time is 12:28 p.m. you several times not to assume anything. So we (A recess was taken.) 18 don't want testimony about assumptions. 19 19 MR. MURRAY: Objection. 20 20 21 MS. LEVIN: No. 21 22 MR. MURRAY: You're not here to --22 23 you're not here to lecture the witness. You can 23 ask questions, and he can give you answers. 24 25 You're not here to lecture my client. 25

Page 113 Page 115 AFTERNOON SESSION 1 "NuCal Foods, Incorporated, must show 1 proof that they are a 'Certified Company' under 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION, (CONTINUING), UEP guidelines for the 'Animal Husbandry 3 QUESTIONS BY CHRISTINE C. LEVIN: Guidelines for U.S. Egg Laying Flocks.' A copy 4 (Deposition Exhibits 11 through 16 were must be attached to this contract." 5 marked for identification.) Q So paragraph 10 contained a requirement 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the 6 for NuCal Foods? 7 beginning of Tape 3. The time is 1:19 p.m., and 7 we are back on the record. 8 A To prove that they are a certified 8 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Stull. company, yes. 9 9 10 Is that your signature on page 19291? 10 A Good afternoon. 11 Α Yes. 11 Q I'm going to ask the court reporter to share with you a document that we have marked as Q What was the time period -- let's go 12 12 back to the first page. And there's some Exhibit 11, and it bears Bates Nos. KRGEG00019290 13 through 291. 14 handwriting, but underneath the handwriting it 14 15 states the contract should be effective April 1, 15 MR. MURRAY: Counsel, could I please have a copy? 2005, to January 26, 2008; is that correct? 16 MS. LEVIN: I'm sorry. I think there's 17 A That's correct. 17 Q Is it your understanding that that's 18 two copies of the same thing stapled together. 18 It's the same thing stapled together. Two copies the time period that was covered by the contract that was signed by you? of the same thing. He needs to look at the first 20 Yes. piece of paper. 21 Α 21 Q Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 22 Q And --22 11, Mr. Stull? 23 Α Although I was surprised it was not 23 signed by NuCal as well. A I'm sorry? 24 24 25 The -- then there's some handwriting Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 25 Page 114 Page 116 11? 1 that's marked out April 1, 2005, and looks 1 2 A I've started. I haven't finished it like -- can you read what date is written in 3 yet. instead of April 1, 2005? 3 4 Q Have you completed your review of A As near as I can tell it says 4 5 Exhibit 11? 5 April 27th, 2008. 6 A Yes, I have. Q So if there was a contract between 6 7 Q What is Exhibit 11? Kroger and NuCal's for April 27th, 2008 --8 A It's an agreement between the Kroger beginning April 27, 2008, that would not have Company and NuCal Foods to supply eggs to the been signed by you; correct? Ralph's, FoodsCo, and Cala warehouses located in10 A Correct. Northern California and/or in the DSD stores. 11 Q Okay. Let's go to a document that has 12 Q What's a DSD store? 12 been marked as Exhibit 12. And it bears Bates A Direct store delivery. In that they do 13 Nos. KREGE00019063 through 19070. Let me know 14 not go through a warehouse, the supplier would when you have completed your review. 14 15 deliver directly to the store. Mr. Stull, did you do anything to 15 Q And was that direct store delivery for prepare for your deposition today? 16 16 the Ralph's, FoodsCo, and Cala banner stores? 17 17 A No. A It was for some of them, but I couldn't 18 You didn't meet with counsel to prepare 18 Q tell you which -- if it was for all of them. 19 19 for the deposition? Q But it would at least be limited to A I talked with my lawyer. 20 20 21 those three brands; correct? 21 Q How long did you speak with your 22 A Correct. 22 lawyer? 23 Q Take a look at the bottom of the first 23 A A while. I didn't -- I don't own a page, paragraph 10, Animal Welfare Certification. watch. I don't keep track of time. 24 25 Could you read that into the record? 25 Q I understand that, but surely you know

1

Page 117

1 whether it was one hour or one day, for instance?2 A Oh. Hour, hour and a half, I would

3 guess.

8

9

4 Q Did you review any documents during the 5 course of that time?

6 MR. MURRAY: Don't tell them anything 7 that you discussed.

Q Haven't asked you anything that was discussed.

10 MR. MURRAY: Just warning so nothing 11 comes out.

12 Q Let's go back to Exhibit 12. What is 13 Exhibit 12?

14 A It's a contract between Pace Dairy and 15 Midwest Poultry. When I say Pace Dairy, that's 16 the Kroger Company.

17 Q Okay. And for the supply of eggs to 18 various Kroger warehouses; correct?

19 A Correct.

20 Q The date of the contract is what?

21 A February 1, 2004, through January 28, 22 2006.

23 Q And on the fourth page of Exhibit 12,

24 is that your signature?

25 A Yes, it is.

Page 118

13

14

15

16

20

- 1 Q Now looking back at Exhibit 3 again,
- 2 I'd like to go through the same exercise of
- 3 determining which of the divisions correlate with
- 4 these particular warehouse locations.
- 5 A All right.
- 6 Q Was there a division that correlated to 7 the Shelbyville, Indiana, warehouse?
- 8 A Yes. That would be the Central 9 Division.
- 10 Q And what about Detroit, Michigan?
- 11 A That would be the Michigan Division.
- 12 Q Louisville, Kentucky?
- 13 A Mid-South Division.
- 14 Q Columbus, Ohio?
- 15 A I believe the Columbus Division.
- 16 Q And Roanoke, Virginia?
- 17 A That would be the Mid-Atlantic
- 18 Division.
- 19 Q And was there just one warehouse for 20 each of these divisions in Exhibit 3?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Now, for certain of the egg purchases
- 23 covered by Exhibit 12 there's reference to a cost
- 24 plus program. Do you see that in the last
 - 5 paragraph on the first page of Exhibit 12?

A Yes.

2 Q Do you know why Midwest eggs were 3 purchased on the basis of cost plus program?

4 A It was a mutual -- excuse me -- a

5 mutual arrangement between Kroger and Midwest

Page 119

6 Poultry to achieve the best price at a fair7 market value.

Q And did you have a cost plus programwith any other of your suppliers while you werethe egg procurement general manager?

11 A I -- I honestly can't recall if I did

12 or not. I know I had it with Midwest Poultry.

13 If I did, I'm sure you have a copy of it.

14 Q Again, we'll come back to pricing a 15 little bit later, but if you could turn to the 16 fourth page where your signature appears on 17 Exhibit 12.

18 A Yes.

19 Q Could you read into the record the 20 paragraph XIV on that page?

21 A Animal Welfare Certification. Midwest 22 Poultry Services, L.P., must show proof that they

23 are a 'Certified Company' under UEP guidelines

24 for the 'Animal Husbandry Guidelines for U.S. Egg

25 Laying Flocks.' A copy must be attached to this

Page 120 1 contract. Kroger will not accept any eggs from

2 Midwest Poultry Services that have not been

3 100 percent produced in these terms."

4 Q And your understanding was that this 5 was a requirement under the contract to Midwest?

6 MR. MURRAY: Objection to the form of 7 the question.

8 A My understanding is just what's -- you 9 know, you read what's in there.

10 Q But your understanding is that Midwest 11 Poultry had to show proof of their compliance of 12 the guidelines?

MR. MURRAY: Same objection.

A It says Kroger will not accept eggs from Midwest Poultry Services that have not been 100 percent produced in these terms.

17 Q And you never told Midwest Poultry that 18 that particular paragraph did not need to be 19 adhered to or complied with?

A I don't recall.

21 Q Well, do you recall ever telling

22 Midwest Poultry that the requirement under the

23 heading animal welfare certification need not be 24 complied with?

25 A I don't recall.

Page 121 Page 123 Q Let's turn to the last page of Exhibit reported to? 1 2 A Not -- no. Not directly. 12. Can you tell me what that is? Q Do you recall who Geoffrey Covert is? A It's an extension of the existing 3 3 A Yes, I do. 4 4 contract until January 31st, 2007. 5 Q And who is Mr. Covert? Q The existing contract being the first 5 6 four pages of Exhibit 12? 6 A Geoffrey Covert was the vice president A Yes. of manufacturing. 7 7 Q Could you read the text of the email Q And is that your signature on the last 8 8 from Bob to Mr. Covert? 9 page of Exhibit 12? 9 10 "Ralph says we negotiated a 1.7-cent 10 A Yes, it is. 11 increase per dozen cost in eggs for animal care. 11 Q And looking at the next-to-the-last page of Exhibit 12, what is that? What is this about?" 12 Q Okay. And then going further up in the 13 A Yes. 13 14 chain, Mr. Covert's response to Bob, which 14 Q What is that page? appears at the top of the second page, you can 15 A It's an animal care certification for see the transmission link. So Mr. Covert's Midwest Poultry Service. 16 Q Reflecting that the company has passed 17 response is that he's copying you; correct? 17 A Mm-hmm. an animal welfare audit for the calendar year 18 18 2003; correct? 19 Q Who buys all of our eggs nationally; is 19 A Correct. 20 that correct? 20 21 A Yes. Q Let's move on to Exhibit 13, which is a 21 22 Q And, then, let's go on to your response 22 document bearing Bates No. KRGEGED00010798 and to this question about Ralph's negotiation of a 23 99. As you'll see, it's an email chain, so 23 1.7-cent increase per dozen eggs. Do you see 24 sometimes it's a little easier to start at the that? Can you read into the record your response 25 end and review it, but I leave that up to you. Page 124 Page 122 to Mr. Covert? 1 Have you finished your review of 1 Exhibit 13? 2 MR. MURRAY: That's not a question. 2 A Pardon me? 3 3 He's not going to do any more reading into the Q Have you completed your review of record. You can ask him a question. That's not 4 5 Exhibit 13? 5 a question. 6 A Yes, I have. 6 MS. LEVIN: Are you instructing him not 7 Q And is Exhibit 13 a series of emails 7 to answer? relating to Ralph's eggs that includes an email 8 MR. MURRAY: I'm telling him he doesn't 8 from you dated August 1, 2003? have to read. He can read it to himself and 9 A Yes. 10 answer questions. 10 MS. LEVIN: I'd like for the record to Q Let's turn on to the back side of 11 11 12 Exhibit 13. And I'd like to focus your attention 12 reflect what the document says, unless you're 13 on that last piece of the email chain from Bob instructing him not to answer. 13 14 Zincke sent by Mary LaBolt to Geoffrey Covert. 14 MR. MURRAY: It's not a question. It's 15 Do you see that? not a question. 15 16 A Yes, I do. 16 MS. LEVIN: It is a question. Q Who is Bob Zincke, if I'm saying his 17 MR. MURRAY: What? 17 18 name right? 18 MS. LEVIN: Mr. Murray, I've asked him A He is a Kroger person. I'm not sure 19 19 to read that sentence. 20 exactly what his title is, but he's in senior MR. MURRAY: We're not -- but that's 20 21 management. 21 not a proper procedure. We're not going to do Q Did he have anything to do with egg 22 that. 22

23

Was he ever the person that you

23

24

25

procurement?

A No.

MS. LEVIN: All right. If you're

not -- we have been doing it for depositions

taken by you ad infinitum. I'm happy to hear --

Page 125 Page 127 1 let me finish -- I'm happy to hear that it's 1 (No response) Those were your words; correct? Mr. --2 improper to have witnesses read things into the 2 Q 3 record because we will certainly stop doing it on 3 Mr. Stull? 4 our side. 4 A I'm trying to find where it says that. 5 MR. MURRAY: Okay. 5 Q Why don't you read the first sentence. A I see where it says costs would rise Q Mr. Stull, would you please read into 6 6 the record you response to -due to the costs associated to suppliers to 7 7 Tell us, what was your response to manage the program. 8 Q Right. Those were your words back to Mr. Covert, if you can do that without reading it 9 9 Mr. Covert. Read that -- why don't you read that into the record? 10 10 A My response was that we support the FMI entire sentence for us. 11 11 12 MR. MURRAY: You can read it to 12 guidelines. Q Your response was that the corporation, 13 13 yourself. 14 Kroger, supports the FMI guidelines; correct? 14 MS. LEVIN: Are you instructing him not A Yes. 15 15 to read --Q And what were the FMI guidelines that 16 MR. MURRAY: I'm telling him --16 17 the corporate -- that Kroger had made the 17 MS. LEVIN: Okay, you're not decision to support? instructing him not to answer, then just -- we 18 18 19 A I can't -- I can't recall what the 19 hear your objection. Q Could you please --20 auidelines were. 20 MR. MURRAY: But it's not a question. 21 Q Well, read the rest of the sentence. 21 Does that help you with what the guidelines were? MS. LEVIN: It is a question. I said, 22 22 "Would you please read that second sentence of 23 A Yes. I can see what it says there, 23 your email for the record." That is a question. 24 that --24 If you're instructing --25 Okay, what did it say? Q Page 126 Page 128 Calling for fewer birds per cage as MR. MURRAY: Okay, the answer's "yes" 1 1 well as other costs associated with animal or "no" to that. It's not to read it. 2 2 MS. LEVIN: Mr. Murray, are you 3 welfare. 3 Q Correct. So the guidelines that you instructing your witness not to read into the 4 say that Kroger made the decision to accept were 5 record --6 the FMI guidelines adopting the UEP producer 6 MR. MURRAY: I'm telling him -standards for animal welfare; correct? MS. LEVIN: -- his very words from his 7 7 A Correct. email? Because we'll get on the phone with the 8 8 9 Q And you're also saying that the 9 judge right now if that's your instruction. corporation made that decision to -- as well --10 MR. MURRAY: I'm telling him to read adopt as well other costs associated with animal the sentence and then answer questions on it. 11 11 welfare; correct? Q Mr. Stull, would you please read for 12 12 the record the second sentence in your email? 13 A I'm not sure I follow your question. 13 14 Q Well, why don't you read the sentence 14 Your lawyer's not instructing you not 15 that I was just quoting from. to answer, so you can decide personally --15 A Okay. 16 A I don't understand --16 Q Why don't you read that aloud, and then 17 MR. MURRAY: He doesn't have to do it. 17 you'll understand the question, I think. 18 A I -- I don't understand why you want to MR. MURRAY: You can read it to read that into the record. 19 19 Q Because I'd like the record to reflect 20 vourself. 20 what your words were back to Mr. Covert. What 21 A Okay, I've read the question -- read 21 22 the sentence. were your words back to Mr. Covert? 22 23 Q Okay. And the sentence says that 23 A Aren't they right here in print? 24 Kroger made the decision as well to accept other 24 Q Yes, they are, and I would like --

25

25 costs associated with animal welfare; correct?

Then why do I need to read them out

Page 129 Page 131 1 loud? 1 MR. MURRAY: Object. It's not a 2 Are you -- Mr. Stull, if you are not 2 question. 3 going to answer my questions, we'll have to get 3 MS. LEVIN: We hear you. on the phone with the judge. 4 Q Can you please --5 MR. MURRAY: He's willing to answer 5 MR. MURRAY: That's uncalled for. MS. LEVIN: I think I've been 6 your questions. 6 7 incredibly patient with this bogus objection. MS. LEVIN: Okay. 7 Q Can you please read into the record 8 Then answer --8 9 your response to Mr. Covert? MR. MURRAY: Ask him a question about 9 10 it. 10 MR. MURRAY: It's entirely uncalled 11 11 MS. LEVIN: I asked him a question. I for. asked him, "What was your response back to 12 12 Q Can you do that, Mr. Stull? Mr. Covert?" I don't see any point in doing it, but 13 13 14 MR. MURRAY: You can answer. 14 I --15 A My response is exactly what's written 15 Q I understand that you don't see any point, Mr. -- Mr. Stull, but I've been taking 16 down here. 17 Q Okay. Well, please tell us what is 17 depositions for a long time and this is something that we do sometimes. And, so, I'm asking you 18 written. 18 19 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Asked and 19 very nicely if you would please read into the 20 20 record your response to Mr. Covert. answered. 21 Q Mr. Stull, are you going to decline to 21 MR. MURRAY: Objection to the form of tell us what your answer was besides referring to 22 22 the question. the document? 23 Α (No response) MR. MURRAY: You're mischaracterizing 24 24 Q These were your words? 25 These are my words. 25 what he said. He did tell you what he's -- the Page 130 Page 132 answer. Q Okay. Let's hear them. 1 1 2 MS. OSBORN: Mr. Murray, I want to 2 "The corporation made the decision to 3 state an objection for the record on behalf of support the FMI guidelines adopting the UEP --Midwest. We have sat through days and days and United Egg Producers standards for animal welfare 5 days of depositions in this matter where calling for fewer birds per cage as well as other 6 plaintiffs have done nothing more than ask our 6 costs associated with animal welfare (evidenced 7 witnesses to read into the record documents and by the May 31st, 2002, News Release on the Kroger 8 statements in documents. I would ask that website). Corporate Category Management 9 Mr. Stull please read into the record what communicated these guidelines to the divisions 10 Ms. Levin is asking him to read. and mentioned then that our costs would rise due MS. CRABTREE: I second that objection to the costs associated to suppliers to manage 11 11 12 for Rose Acre. 12 the program. We continue to talk about this on 13 Q Mr. Stull, if we can't get you to do our monthly Perishable calls, so I'm a little 14 this, we'll need to get on the phone with the surprised there is a question. By the way, the judge. And if we can't get the judge on the average cost increase for the industry is 2.5 15 16 phone today, we'll conclude the deposition and 16 cents." 17 we'll go to the judge, and I suspect we will end 17 Q Is this an email that you wrote? Are up back here with you having to do what I'm those your words? 18 asking you to do. This is not an improper 19 19 A It sounds like a little higher level procedure. 20 than I normally write. Umm --20 21 Would you please read for us into the 21 Do you question what --22 record what your response was to Mr. Covert. MR. MURRAY: Let him finish. Let him 22 23 A Are you referring to that one sentence? 23 finish his answer.

24

25

A Sometimes --

MR. MURRAY: Please.

sentences, quite frankly.

24

Q I would like for you to read all three

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 392-69 Filed: 10/23/23 Page 35 of 57 PageID #:17763 Page 133 Page 135 A Sometimes some things were prepared and 1 A It's saying that the costs for the 1 I just passed them along. industry would drive costs for the supplier. 2 2 3 Q Prepared by whom? 3 Q Explain what you mean by that. Who is A In this case, probably somebody within the -- I take that back. 4 4 the category management. 5 Who is the "our" referring to when it 5 Q Do you have a -says "our costs would rise"? 6 6 7 A Corporate category management. 7 A I'm trying to find that. Q Do you have a recollection of somebody Q It's in the second sentence. At the 8 8 in corporate category management dictating these end of the fourth line and the beginning of the 9 9 words to you for inclusion in the email? 10 fifth line of your email response to Mr. Covert. 10 A No, I -- no, I don't. A The one where it says "We continue to 11 11 Q Do you have any doubt that you prepared talk"? 12 12 this email and sent it to Mr. Covert? Q No, it's in the sentence before that. 13 13 14 MR. MURRAY: Objection to the form of Right above that. The fourth line, not the 15 the question. Mischaracterizes his testimony. fifth. "Our costs," who was the "our"? A I know I sent the email. 16 A The suppliers were telling us their 16 17 Q Okay. Do you have any doubt about the 17 costs would rise. So, naturally, in the accuracy of the words in the email? contracting situation, that would cause our costs 18 19 A You're asking me to remember something 19 to rise. from eight years ago, as far as accuracy, and I 20 Q Kroger's costs? 20 can honestly say I don't -- I don't recall. 21 21 Kroger's costs. 22 Q Was it your practice to send emails 22 Q And it further states that "We continue 23 that were not accurate or that you knew were not 23 to talk about this on our monthly Perishable calls." What were the monthly perishable calls? accurate at the time you were sending them? 24 MR. MURRAY: Objection to the form of 25 A I was not in the monthly perishable 25 Page 134 Page 136 the question. 1 calls. So I really -- I really can't refer to 1 2 2 that. As I said, I worked for Lisa Beth Miller. A No. I tried to be accurate. 3 Q Okay. And it was your understanding at 3 Q Okay. Let's move on to Exhibit 14 the time you sent this email that it was correct which bears Bates Nos. MPS-00093359. that corporate category management had mentioned 5 Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 6 that Kroger's costs would rise due to the cost 14? 6 associated with the suppliers to manage the 7 7 A Yes. animal welfare program; correct? Q What is Exhibit 14? 8 8 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Lack of 9 A A letter to me from Midwest Poultry. 9 It's a copy of our agreement for the increased 10 foundation. price for certified animal care shell eggs. A You're asking me to remember something 11 12 that I can't remember from that long ago. 12 Q What's the date of Exhibit 14? Q But you don't have any doubt about the 9-27-02. 13 13 Α voracity of that sentence; do you? Do you have any doubt that you received 14 14 15 Exhibit 14 on or about September 27, 2002? 15 A I can't answer that. I don't know. Q Would you have included it in your 16 No. 16 email if you thought it was false? 17 Q So does -- Exhibit 14 reflects that 17 A No. I would not include anything that there was an agreement between Midwest and Kroger 18 18

20

21

23

24

25

22 increase.

22 you said costs would rise?

A Egg costs.

make it true.

19

20 21

23

24

about a price increase for costs associated with

A We were told there would be a price

So there was no secret to you or to

the animal care program; correct?

Q Okay. Who told you that?

Bob Krouse.

I thought was false, but that doesn't necessarily

Q What costs were you referring to when

4

12

13

16

23

5

6

7

8

12

Page 137

1 Kroger that the animal welfare program would 2 bring about an increase in Kroger's cost of 3 purchasing eggs; was there?

MR. MURRAY: Object to the form of the 4 5 question.

- A We knew there would -- we were informed 6 that there would be price increases. 7
- Q Midwest didn't hide that fact from you; 8 did it? 9
- 10 A No.

13

18

6

7

11

15

18

22

23

24

- Q Did you have similar discussions with 11 12 other suppliers?
- A I don't know if I did or not. If you 14 have -- if you have things to put in the record 15 that go to other suppliers, then I could agree to 16 it, but I honestly can't recall if I did this 17 with other suppliers or not.
- Q Well, did you have an understanding 19 that the animal welfare program brought about an 20 increase in costs to egg -- shell egg producers?
- A I was informed by Midwest Poultry that 21 22 they would.
- Q And would it be fair to say that if 23 24 Midwest Poultry would experience increased costs 24 as a result of the animal welfare program, that

1 A It makes -- it makes sense that less birds in a cage would produce less eggs, but really they produce more.

Q Fewer hens in a cage causes an increase in egg production; is that your understanding?

A It's possible. That was, I think, the 6 7 contention.

8 Q Okay. Did you ever inquire of other producers whether the animal welfare program would cause an increase in costs and therefore an increase in price to Kroger?

A I can't recall.

But it was the animal welfare program O 14 guidelines that Mr. Krouse explained to you brought about the increase in price from Midwest; correct?

17 A I think that's what's stated in the letter 18

19 Q Right. And Kroger required all of its 20 other producers also to comply with those same animal welfare guidelines; correct? 21

22 A I believe that's what's in Exhibit 3.

Q So if Midwest experienced an increase in costs as a result of the animal welfare guidelines, would it make sense that other

other suppliers who were also required by Kroger to produce eggs in accordance with those same 2 guidelines would likewise have increased costs? 3

MR. MURRAY: Objection to the form of 4 the question. Calls for speculation. 5

A I'm afraid I have to agree with the speculation clause. I really don't know the -- I 8 don't know because I didn't go out and inspect coops or anything to see what the effects of the 10 requirements were.

Q Did you understand from Mr. Krouse why 12 the animal welfare program would cause a price 13 increase for the eggs you were purchasing from 14 Midwest?

A My memory is kind of dim, but Bob 16 Krouse was a good supplier, and I pretty much 17 trusted his word.

Q Would it make sense to you that if 19 there are fewer -- or if hens require increased space in cages, that that would bring about 21 increased costs --

MR. MURRAY: Objection. Calls --

Q -- for the producer?

MR. MURRAY: Objection. Calls for 25 speculation. Lack of foundation.

Page 140

Page 139

producers likewise experienced increased costs as 2 a result of the animal welfare guidelines? MR. MURRAY: Objection. Asked and 3

answered. Calls for speculation. A Would you restate the question? MS. LEVIN: Can you read it back. (The reporter read the requested question.)

9 MR. MURRAY: Objection to the question.

Asked and answered, calls for speculation. 10 11

Α

Q That would not make sense to you?

13 A Not -- not to me.

14 Q Why not?

A Each producer has their own set of 15 16 criteria on how they produce things.

17 Q But you're requiring everyone to follow the animal welfare guidelines; correct? 18

A That's what's stated in this Exhibit 3, 19 20 ves.

21 Q And it's stated in every last contract 22 we've looked at today too; correct?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And Mr. Krouse is stating to you that 25 the animal welfare guidelines are what are

Page 141

- 1 causing an increase in his costs, and that it's 2 therefore going to create a price increase for 3 Kroger; correct?
 - A That's what the letter says.

4

11

13

6

7

14

17

21

22

23

25

5 Q What is the basis for your view that it 6 may be that those same animal welfare guidelines 7 that cause an increase in price for Midwest would not cause an increase in price for Rose Acre 9 foods, Cal-Maine, NuCal, and the other producer 10 contracts that we've looked at?

MR. MURRAY: Objection. Asked and 12 answered.

- A You know, it would be speculation for 14 me to tell you that. But let me just do a quick 15 example. If I'm driving a car and I get ten 16 miles to the gallon where somebody else is 17 driving a car and gets 20 miles to the gallon, 18 we're both driving cars but one does a better job 19 than the other.
- 20 Q Correct. And if you have those two 21 cars -- let's go with that -- one getting 22 10 miles to the gallon and the other getting 23 20 miles to the gallon and both of those drivers 24 are required to put a thousand pounds in the 25 trunk, would you expect that to have a decrease

Exhibit 14 for us?

2 A These are costs per dozen that Midwest 3 Poultry put together and sent to me.

Page 143

Page 144

Q Do you have a recollection that the 4 cage space requirements of the animal welfare guidelines were to be phased in? That is to say they were not to go from 48 inches per --

48 inches per hen to 67 inches overnight but were to be stepped up gradually? 9

10 A That's the assumption that's made in this pricing. 11

Q Okay.

12

18

21

6

18

A I'd like to add that these -- these 13 14 things were in place before I talked with Mr. Krouse. 15

16 Q What things were in place before you 17 talked with Mr. Krouse?

A These -- this planned phase-in.

19 Q Do you know when the animal welfare guidelines were first promulgated by UEP? 20

A No, I don't know.

22 Q So how can you say it was in place before you spoke with Mr. Krouse as reflected in 23 24 Exhibit 14?

25 MR. MURRAY: Objection to the form of

Page 142

in mileage for each of those cars?

2 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Calls for 3 speculation, lack of foundation.

A We are getting into a pretty 4 5 speculative area.

Q I'm trying to use your analogy, Mr. --

A I understand.

8 Q -- Mr. Stull, you're the one who raised 9 it: not me.

A Okay. It depends if you're going 10 uphill or downhill, doesn't it? 11

Q Everybody's driving on the same, flat 12 13 level surface.

MR. MURRAY: Same objection.

15 A I'd be truly speculating if I said you 16 could do that.

Q Okay. Let's take a look at the second 18 page of Exhibit 14. These are the -- what's 19 titled Certified Animal Care Production Cost 20 Product -- Projections. Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.

THE REPORTER: "It's titled" --

MS. LEVIN: Certified Animal Care

Production Cost Projections. 24

Q Can you explain the second page of

the question.

2 A You know, I can't recall the specifics.

3 It's just an assumption that I made.

Q Okay. Well, Mr. Stull, we're not here 4 to get assumptions today. 5

A I understand.

7 Q We're here to get facts that you

recall, and that's why we're looking at documents 8

to try to refresh your recollection. Does this

document refresh your recollection that there 10

were a phase-in of the cage space requirements of

the animal welfare program? 12

A I see it in black and white across the 13

14 top of this paper. 15 Q I'm sorry. The cage space requirements

were going to go from 48 inches to 56, to 59, to 61, to 64, and then to 67; is that correct? 17

A That's correct as it's printed.

Q And Mr. Krouse was explaining to you 19 that the costs for production would increase as

the cage space requirements increased; correct? 21

22 Α Yes.

23 Q And those same cage space requirements were required of all of your producers; correct?

Your shell egg producers?

6

Page 145 1 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Form of the 2 question.

A I'll refer you back to Document 3.

- 4 Q And what part of Document 3, Exhibit 3, 5 are you referring us to?
 - A The third paragraph.

3

6

- 7 Q Where in the third paragraph are you 8 referring?
- 9 A Where it talks about increased cage 10 space per hen.
- 11 Q Right. And if we would go back to the 12 contracts that we've reviewed, each of them has 13 required your producers -- your shell egg 14 producers -- to comply 100 percent with those 15 quidelines: correct?
- 16 A I believe, yes, that's correct.
- 17 Q Okay. And then you negotiated with 18 Mr. Krouse how much Kroger would pay for the 19 increased costs of production for eggs produced 20 in accordance with the animal welfare program?
- 21 A Define "negotiated."
- 22 Q As in the first page of Exhibit 14
- 23 says, "Attached is a copy of our agreed-upon
- 24 price increase." Was that a product of a
- 25 negotiation?

Page 146

- 1 A I was -- that price increase of .0075 2 for the tenth period, those are numbers that I
- 3 was told would be the price increase.
- 4 Q But you understood that those numbers
- 5 would be a price increase and that they were
- 6 engendered by the animal welfare program,
- 7 compliance with the cage space requirements;
- 8 correct?
- 9 A I had no way of verifying those
- 10 numbers.
- 11 Q Well, I understand you had no way of
- 12 verifying those numbers, but you agreed with
- 13 Mr. Krouse to permit that additional charge to be
- 14 made to Kroger; correct?
- 15 A Yes. That's correct.
- 16 Q Did you do anything to try to determine
- 17 whether that was a fair price increase?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Did you review the numbers on the
- 20 exhibit, on the second page of Exhibit 14, to
- 21 determine whether those numbers were accurate or 22 fair?
- 23 A I had no way of determining whether
- 24 they were active -- accurate or fair.
 - 5 Q But you --

A And when we're talking about the

- 2 cage -- the animal welfare stuff, I had no way of
- 3 determining that.
- 4 Q But you agreed upon those numbers with 5 Mr. Krouse?
 - A I had confidence in his honesty.
- 7 Q Okay. Let's take a look -- do you have 8 any reason to doubt whether those costs were in
- 9 fact incurred by -- by Midwest in conjunction
- 10 with its compliance with the animal welfare
 11 quidelines?

MR. MURRAY: Objection. Lack of foundation.

14 A That would be -- I mean, I had no way 15 of telling. It would be speculation for me to 16 say "yes" or "no."

17 Q But you have no reason sitting here 18 today to question that; do you?

19 MR. MURRAY: Objection.

20 Mischaracterizes his testimony.

21 A Today? No. I have no -- nothing 22 today. I've been retired for eight years. I'm

today. I've been retired for eight years. I'mnot -- you know, I'm not involved in it. So --

- 24 Q I know you --
- 25 A -- I have no objection.

Page 148

Page 147

- 1 Q At the time you took no steps to
- 2 ascertain whether these numbers were in fact --
- 3 correctly illustrated the increased costs to
- 4 Midwest as a result of compliance with the animal
- 5 welfare guidelines?
 - A Correct.

6

11

12

- 7 Q And you took no steps to ascertain
- 8 whether any of your other producers incurred
- 9 increased costs for compliance with the animal
- 10 welfare guidelines?
 - A I can't recall with anyone else.
 - Q Was there anybody else at Kroger who
- 3 would have been in charge of ascertaining whether
- 14 it was correct or fair to be paying more for eggs
- 15 as a result of compliance with the animal welfare
- 16 guidelines?

MR. MURRAY: Object to the form of the question.

19 A I can't speak for anyone else at 20 Kroger.

Q Was there anyone else you had to consult with before signing a contract with an egg supplier on -- did you make that decision

24 whether to sign those contracts?

25 A I honestly can't recall.

Page 149

- Q Were you the one who decided whether 1
- the price -- were you the one who decided to whom
- to award a contract as a result of the bidding
- process? 4
- 5 A Yes.
- Q And did you have to consult with anyone 6
- 7 about that award?
- A Only in certain circumstances. 8
- 9 Q What circumstances?
- A They had to meet -- for instance, when 10
- 11 we talked about Exhibit -- the GNX bid.
- Q The RFP in Exhibit 6? 12
- 13 A Yes. The Global Net Xchange bid.
- 14 Q Right.
- 15 A That was -- that was a test, and yes, I
- did have to consult with other people. 16
- Q Did you have to consult with anybody 17
- about the pricing in any of the contracts for 18
- procurement of shell eggs? 19
- A No. 20
- 21 Q Let's look at Exhibit 15. And it is a
- 22 document bearing Bates Nos. MPS-00092027 through
- 927034. And I can tell you, Mr. Stull, that all
- of my questions are going to be devoted to the
- first page. 25

Page 150

23

9

14

17

- A Okay. So I just need to familiarize 1
- myself with the first page? 2
- Q I think that will do it. I'm not going 3
- to ask you to explain the detailed numbers and 4
- 5 charts.
- 6 A That's very good because I probably
- couldn't do it at this point. 7
- Q What is Exhibit 15? 8
- 9 What is Exhibit --
- What is Exhibit 15? 10
- 11 A What is it? It's a letter to me from
- 12 Robert Krouse regarding our agreement to lock in
- the corn and soybean meal components of the shell 13
- egg program. 14
- Q And the date is April 4th, 2003? 15
- A I'm looking for it. 16
- Q At the top. 17
- A Yes. 18
- 19 Q Do you have any doubt that you received
- a copy of Exhibit 15 on or about April 4, 2003? 20
- 21 A No.
- Q Okay. Let's take a look at the first 22
- two sentences of the second paragraph. Could you
- read those for us, please? 24
- A "As you can see, the cap price is 25

- \$0.7119 per dozen for large eggs. This price is 2 based on the average Certified Animal Care cost
- over the time period involved. The actual" --
- Q That's enough there. So again, as of 4
- 5 April 4th, 2003, you understood that Midwest Poultry incurred costs as a result of compliance
- with the animal welfare guidelines; correct? 7
- MR. MURRAY: Object to the form of the 8 auestion. 9
- 10 A This was -- this was talking about corn
- and soybean meal components for shell eggs. 11 Q Well, I understand that that's part of 12
- what the document's talking about, but then the 13 sentence that you just read makes reference to
- the certified animal care cost. And my question
- to you is whether, as a result of your review of
- 17 this document, you understand that Midwest
- communicated to you that its price would be
- higher as a result of the costs it incurred by
- complying with the animal welfare guidelines? 20
- MR. MURRAY: Object to the form of the 21 22 question and mischaracterizes the document.
 - A All I can say is you can read whatever
- you want into this, but as I -- as I read it, 24
 - it's just -- it's an agreement to lock in corn

- and soybean prices. Anything else is secondary.
- 2 Q Well, secondary, but you did understand
- 3 that there was a price cap -- correct? -- per dozen for large eggs?
- 5
 - Α Yes.
- 6 Q And that that price included a charge
- for compliance with the animal welfare
- guidelines; correct? 8
- MR. MURRAY: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the document. 10
- A I'm going to have to say I really don't 11
- know, because I don't know how -- you'd have to 12
- look at the breakdown to see what the costs are. 13
 - Q Well, since you're having difficulty,
- let's turn to page 92031 of the document. Does 15
- that help you at all? 16
 - A What page are we talking about? 92031?
- 18 Okav.
- Q Correct. Does that help you at all 19
- with answering my question? 20
- A That page supports that price that's 21 22 stated on the first page.
- 23 Q And it breaks out a component for
- animal care cost; correct? 24
- 25 That's correct.

Page 153 Page 155 1 Q And that was for compliance with the to include the additional costs, whatever they animal welfare guidelines that was a requirement may be, of the animal welfare program in 2 3 contained in your contract with Midwest? Midwest's price to Kroger? 3 A I'm not an accountant, so I can't 4 A That's what the letter says. 4 5 really say if that was what's there. But on the 5 Q Do you have any reason to doubt the first page it says it's in that price. 6 accuracy of the letter from Mr. Krouse to you on 7 Q Do you have any reason to doubt the 7 this particular point? voracity of that statement on the first page? 8 8 A You know, it would be speculation for A As I said, Mr. Krouse and I had a good 9 9 me to say at this point do I have any question --10 arrangement. I trusted him. As far as the or any doubt. As I said before, I had high voracity of it, I had no way of confirming or regard for Mr. Krouse and respected his opinion. 11 denying it other than my trust in Mr. Krouse. 12 12 Q Well, we've now reviewed Exhibits 14, Q But you understood that Midwest was 13 13 15, and 16, all of which make reference to 14 including in its price a component for compliance additional costs from the animal welfare program. with the animal welfare guidelines; correct? And my question to you is, it was no secret to MR. MURRAY: Object to the form of the Kroger, was it, that Midwest's costs increased as 16 16 17 question. a result of compliance with the animal welfare 17 A That's -- that's what this letter says. 18 program and that those costs were being passed on 18 19 Q Okay. Let's move on to Exhibit 16. to Kroger? 19 And it is a document bearing Bates Nos. 20 20 MR. MURRAY: Object to the form of the MPS-00093368 through 93369. 21 question. Mischaracterizes the document and 21 22 And again, Mr. Stull, my objections 22 misstates his prior testimony. [sic] will really just focus on the first page. 23 A I can only refer to the letter. 23 24 A Okay. 24 Q Okay. And the letter, as far as you 25 MR. MURRAY: It's what she said. know, is accurate; correct? Exhibit 16? Page 154 Page 156 THE WITNESS: Yes. 1 1 As far as I can recall. 2 Q What is Exhibit 16? 2 Q Okay. That's all we're asking. Let's move on to Exhibit 17 which will 3 A It's a letter to me from Bob Krouse at 3 Midwest Poultry Services. 4 be a document bearing Bates No. MOARK-IPP-0004913 5 Q And what's the date of Exhibit 16? 5 through 4915. 6 A February 2nd, 2004. 6 (Deposition Exhibit 17 was marked for 7 Q Do you have any doubt that you received 7 identification.) Exhibit 16 on or about February 2nd, 2004? 8 MS. LEVIN: It appears to have an extra 8 9 A No. page attached to it. 9 Q Let's take a look at the second 10 Q And my questions about Exhibit 19 are 10 paragraph. It states in the second sentence. going to focus on the second page at the bottom, 11 11 "Since we agreed to include the additional costs Ralph's/Food 4 Less Bid. 12 13 of the animal welfare program, which average 13 THEREPORTER: Exhibit 19 or 17? 14 .0291 in 2004, would it be simplest to make the 14 MS. LEVIN: Oh. 17? 15 contract price .0759 back for this year?" Do you 15 THE REPORTER: Yes. 16 see that? 16 MS. LEVIN: Okay. Got carried away. 17 A Yes, I do. Q Have you had a chance to review that 17 Q Does that refresh your recollection 18 paragraph? 18 19 that you agreed with Mr. Krouse to include the 19 Α Yes, I did. additional costs of the animal welfare program in 20 20 Q What is Exhibit 17?

21

23

24

25

22 from Craig Willardson.

Midwest's price to Kroger?

A I can't verify any of the numbers.

Q I understand that. I'm not asking you

about the specific numbers, I'm asking whether

this refreshes your recollection that you agreed

21

22

23

A It's a letter to Arnie Sumner and MOARK

A I don't know what his position -- his

title was, but he was the -- like the plant

Do you know who Craig Willardson was?

Page 157 Page 159 manager at the egg plant. I'm sure he had a identification.) bigger title than that, but that's all I knew. 2 Q And I'm not interested in the first 2 3 Q Did you have dealings with him with 3 paragraph about King Sooper, but I will have some respect to egg procurement? questions about the other paragraphs. 4 5 A Minimal contact, but yes. 5 Have you had a chance to review Exhibit Q Okay. On the paragraph that I asked 18? 6 6 that you look at on the bottom of the second page 7 Α Yes. 7 of Exhibit 17, that makes a reference to "Mark 8 Q And what is Exhibit 18? and I met with Gary Stull." Do you see that in 9 9 A It's a letter to me from Bob Hodges the third line? 10 10 regarding discussion items in Atlanta. A Yes, I do. Q What's the date of Exhibit 18? 11 11 Q Do you know who Mark was? 12 A January 26th, 2004. 12 A I honestly can't recall who Mark was. 13 Q Do you have any doubt about whether you 13 14 received a copy of Exhibit 18 on or about 14 Q No last name or what he might have January 26, 2004? 15 done? A I have no specific recollection of it, A Huh-uh. 16 16 17 but I have no doubt that it came to me. 17 Q Okay. And then at the bottom of that page it says, "Ralph's is looking for a lower Q And who is Bob Hodges? 18 price and no ACC charge." Do you see that 19 A I honestly can't remember who Bob 19 sentence? 20 20 Hodges is. 21 A Yes. I see that sentence. 21 Q Let's look at the third bullet point 22 Q Do you know what an ACC charge was? 22 under animal care certification. Do you see 23 A No idea. 23 that? Q Does it sound like it might have been 24 24 Α Yes. the animal care certified charge that we've seen 25 O Could you read that for us, please? Page 160 references to in Exhibits 15 and 14 and 13? "ACC Phase 2 begins the first of 1 1 2 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Asked and 2 February 2004, and we anticipate a cost of \$0.0100 per dozen for the cage space changes in 3 answered, calls for speculation. A (No response) 4 this phase and would like to ask -- establish the 4 Q Do you have a recollection of new cost for the ACC program for King's, Smith's, 5 attempting with MOARK to obtain eggs without and Ralph's as soon as possible." 6 6 having to pay the increased --Q So MOARK also communicated to you that 7 7 A I don't -- I don't have any 8 the requirement of compliance with the animal 8 recollection. Sorry. welfare guidelines would result in an increased 9 Q For animal -- well -cost because of the cage space changes; is that 10 10 correct? MS. LEVIN: Could you go back and tell 11 11 me how far I got with that question. 12 12 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Mischaracterizes the document. 13 THE REPORTER: Sure. 13 14 THE WITNESS: I apologize for the 14 A I can go with -- only refer to what it says in the document. interruption. 15 15 16 MS. LEVIN: It's okay. 16 Q Well, is that a fair characterization (The reporter read the requested of what it says in the document and what he was 17 17 communicating to you? question.) 18 18 Q -- charge for the animal welfare MR. MURRAY: Same objection. 19 19 program on behalf of Ralph's? A It says we anticipate a cost of a cent 20 20 A No, I have no recollection. per dozen for the cage space changes. 21 21 Q So Kroger understood that MOARK, like MS. LEVIN: I would like to mark as 22 22 Midwest, was going to increase -- was going to 23 Exhibit 18 a document bearing Bates Nos. 23 24 MOARK000o1 -- 0001462 through 63. experience a cost increase as a result of 24 (Deposition Exhibit 18 was marked for implementation of the cage space requirements of

11

12

13

23

Page 161

the animal welfare program; correct? 1

2 MR. MURRAY: Objection. Misstates -misstates the document, calls for speculation. 3

- A The only thing I would like to point 4 5 out there is that Midwest's price was three 6 quarters of a cent and this is one cent. It's
- 7 kind of like the cars.
- 8 Q Okay. But it was a cost increase; 9 correct?
- 10 A That's what it says, yes.
- Q Okay. And MOARK wanted to establish a 11 new price to Kroger for the shell eggs that were 12 being sold for King's, Smith's, and Ralph's as 13 soon as possible? Is that correct? 14
- 15 A That's what's stated in the letter.

MR. MURRAY: We've been going over an 16 hour and ten minutes or so. 17

MS. LEVIN: This is good because I was 18 just about to move to another subject. 19

MR. MURRAY: Okay.

20

1

2

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

16 17

18

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the 21 22 record. The time is 2:28 p.m.

23 (A recess was taken.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the 24

beginning of Tape 4. The time is 2:40 p.m., and

Page 162

we are back on the record.

Q Mr. Stull, I'm going to switch now a 3 bit to pricing of eggs, and my first question is 4 whether during the time that you were the general 5 manager of egg procurement you kept track in any 6 way of the market prices for shell eggs?

A The Urner Barry report was very, very readily available, and yes, I did -- I did follow the Urner Barry.

Q So you followed the Urner Barry report? Do you have any recollection as to what affects the price of eggs?

A The recollection I have, I think -- I 14 think that letter from Mr. Krouse had it layed out pretty well on what the costs were that affected the shell egg price.

- Q The costs in terms of the feed costs?
- A For the producer.
- Q Right. What about in terms of other 19 20 types of costs? Is there a seasonality to the 21 cost of eggs?

22 A It's a true commodity, shell eggs are, 23 and it's a market-driven supply/demand thing. At 24 certain times of the year, Easter, Christmas,

25 Thanksgiving -- we as a society cook three times

Page 163 a year. Most other times we don't cook. And so

it's -- at those three times of the year, there

3 is a seasonal demand for eggs.

Q And did that affect the price of eggs 4 5 that you recall?

A Oh, yes.

Q How did it affect them?

As a truly price-driven commodity, the 8 higher the demand on a static supply, the higher 9 10 the price.

Q But that depends upon it being a static supply; correct?

A Correct.

Q If there's an increase in production 14 during those times to account for the increased 15 supply, that would lesson the effect on the 16 17 price: correct?

18 A If there were increased production, 19 that would.

20 Q Are there other types of things that affect the cost of eggs such as dietary trends, 22 for example?

A Yes. Atkins diet was one that affected it, and some other. Some other things like that affected the consumption.

Page 164

Q How did the Atkins diet affect the cost 1 2 of procuring eggs?

A I'm not real sure. I -- I never 3 subscribed to the Atkins diet, so I really don't

know exactly. But anything that came along like 5 that that was trendy or, by the same token, the

6 negative things could affect it. If it came out 7

in the news that there was salmonella in shell 8

9 eggs (indicating) the demand --

Q Down goes the demand? 10 11

Down goes the demand. Α Q And down goes the price? 12

A Price follows demand. 13

14 Q And what about, for example, some sort of weather-related problem? Excessive heat,

16 excessive drought, would that affect the price?

A Yes, but it would have to be a 17 long-term thing. I mean you get big snow storms 18 and people run to the store and buy three items: 19

Bread, milk, and eggs. 20

Q We're all eating French toast during 21 the snow; aren't we? 22

23 A And I'm not sure what egg -- people do 24 with them after they have them.

Right.

25

10

15

18

20

3

4

14

Page 165 They don't wait until Thanksgiving.

- Q Okay. Is there anything else you can
- 2 3 think of that would affect the cost of procuring
- 4 eggs?

1

- 5 A Umm --
- Q What about fuel costs? 6
- 7 A Yes. I'm sure that does. It wasn't
- 8 much of an issue when I was still procuring
- because we really hadn't hit the pricing that we
- 10 have now with fuel. I know as a consumer when I
- go to the pump and pay 3.75 a gallon, it affects 11 12 me.
- 13 Q It hurts, doesn't it?
- 14 A It does.
- 15 Q Was transportation costs included in
- the prices that you negotiated for shell eggs?
- 17 A Yes.
- Q So there was not a separate charge 18
- 19 added on for transportation, as you recall?
- A Not per se, but there was some -- some 20 21 adjustments made when the fuel costs started 22 soaring.
- Q Like a fuel surcharge maybe? 23
- 24 Α Correct.
- 25 Q Okay. Let's go back to Exhibit 7,

Page 166

- 1 which is the Rose Acre Farms contract. And I
- 2 wanted to focus your attention on the pricing
- 3 aspects of this, which we sort of skipped over 4 the first time.
- 5 So you've mentioned the Urner Barry a couple times. Can you explain what Urner Barry 6 7 is?
- 8 A Urner Barry is just a standard that everyone in the egg industry uses as a basis for pricing. 10
- Q And do you know how Urner Barry is 11 12 calculated?
- 13 A I'm not sure if it's witchcraft or --14 but they put out a price that says this is the price that Urner Barry says eggs are worth right now, and then you use that as a basis to buy.
- Q And the price is per dozen; correct? 17
- Α Yes. 18

16

- Q And is it based on egg size, different 19
- Urner Barry for different sizes of eggs? 20
- A They have different price quotes for 21 22 each different size.
- 23 Q Okay. And do they also have different
- 24 price quotes for different regions of the
- 25 country?

1 A I believe so.

- 2 Q Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibit 7
- 3 here, and it focuses on the Midwest. If you look
- down under carton eggs, Grade A jumbo it talks
- about the midwest Urner Barry large market.
- 6 A Correct.
 - Q So that would be whatever Urner Barry
- has attached to the market for large eggs in
- whatever the midwest might be?
 - A Correct.
- Q Correct? And the large market number 11
- 12 appears to be used for both large and extra large
- and jumbo. Is that correct?
- 14 Α Yes.
 - Q And then there's a different Urner
- Barry number for the medium eggs; correct?
- 17 A Correct.
 - Q And a different Urner Barry number for
- 19 small eggs; correct?
 - A Correct.
- 21 Q And then there is a Grade A large brown
- that is the Urner Barry large market again. Do 22
 - you see that?
- 24 Α Yes.
- 25 Q Was there a separate number for brown

Page 168

Page 167

- eggs versus white eggs?
 - 2 A You mean a separate price?
 - Q A separate Urner Barry number.
 - A I'm not sure if there was or not.
 - There may have been. We based everything off
 - the -- either the large, medium, or small market. 6
 - Q Okay. And it says -- if you'll see the 7
 - last sentence before the table, it says all Urner 8
 - Barry quotes are based on a white egg --
 - A Correct. 10
 - 11 Q -- correct? So at least with Mid- -
 - at least with Rose Acre, you used the white egg
 - large market for brown eggs for your pricing?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Now, for the Grade A jumbo it has 15
 - plus .0077. Can you explain to me what that
 - means in terms of the price for Grade A jumbo 17
 - 18 eggs?
 - A Yes. Whatever the large market was on 19 the particular pricing day, it would be that 20
 - large market plus .0077. 21
 - Q Okay. And what about for Grade A extra 22 large? That looks like the number's different. 23
 - 24 A That would be whatever the midwest
 - 25 Urner Barry large market was minus -- you know,

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 392-69 Filed: 10/23/23 Page 44 of 57 PageID #:17772 Page 169 Page 171 less this amount. 1 It's under Roman numeral III. 1 2 2 A Oh, okay. You know, I can tell you Q Less .0785? 3 A For the extra large, yes. 3 exactly what it says here in this -- if you ask Q Okay. Is that what's referred to as a me -- if you ask me what it is now, I couldn't 4 5 number being back of Urner Barry? tell you without some help. A Yes. it is. 6 Q Does this refresh your recollection at 6 7 7 all? Q Is there a similar phrase for ones that are larger than Urner Barry? 8 8 Α It does. It's the -- it's a A Over, I guess. four-week -- they take the Thursday market for 9 9 10 Over or front or anything? You don't all four weeks and average it. Q So did the Urner Barry number change know? 11 11 weekly? 12 A No. 12 Q So do you recall what other regions A It changed daily. 13 13 14 besides Midwest there were for the Urner Barry? 14 Q It changed daily. And so the trailing market average looked at the Thursday number for 15 A There -- I can't remember. I know 15 there were other regions, but I based everything four weeks, averaged it, and then applied it to 17 off the Midwest. 17 the sales for the coming week? Q Okay. Well, for Rose Acre? 18 A Correct. 18 19 A For Rose Acre. 19 Q And so the price for eggs got recalculated on a weekly basis -- is that 20 Q If you want to take a quick look at 20 correct? -- under this contract? Exhibit 10, which is the Cal-Maine contract, it 21 22 makes reference to the south central Urner Barry 22 A Well, it got recalculated off a four-week average. market. 23 23 24 A Yes. And like I say, I know there are 24 Q Right. other markets, but I couldn't tell you exactly. 25 But that changed, you know, every four Page 170 Page 172 weeks, so --1 Q So you remember the south central, and 1 2 Q So you only did this recalculation then in Exhibit 11, the NuCal contract, it's 2 every four weeks? typed in midwest, but it's been marked through 3 4 (No response) and it says California Urner Barry large market. 5 A That occurred after my -- after I'd 5 Q I'm just trying to figure out how often the price changed. 6 left. 6 7 A Okay. This is terrible for me to say 7 Right. Do you have a recollection of because I did this for many years, but I can't there being any markets besides midwest and south 8 8 central? 9 really recall if it was a --9 10 Q Well, in this --10 A No, I can't recall. 11 Α Originally it was set to change every 11 Q And do you know whether the Urner Barry week. prices in -- or the Urner Barry number for 12 Q Right. midwest large market, when it moved, always moved 13 in the same direction as the south central 14 But with the trailing average, I think we went to a once-a-month pricing. 15 number? So, for example, if the midwest Urner 15 Q It does say this average market will be Barry large market went up, did the south central 16 fixed for the following four-week Kroger period. Urner Barry large market also go up? 17 17 So your recollection is that the price that Not necessarily. 18 Kroger paid to Rose Acre Farms, or any other 19 Q So sometimes those went in different 20 contract that has this provision in it, was reset directions? 20

23

24

25

and averaged it?

[10/1/2002 9:32 AM] Stull, Gary_2014-04-01

Q Let's take a look at the second page of

market average. Can you explain what that means?

Exhibit 7. There's a reference to the trailing

A Right.

21

22

24

25

21 every four weeks, it was based on whatever

referenced in the contract, and you looked at the

Urner Barry price for the prior four Thursdays

22 appropriate Urner Barry market number is

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 392-69 Filed: 10/23/23 Page 45 of 57 PageID #:17773 Page 173 Page 175 1 A Correct. 1 A I think some of the ones we looked at 2 Q Okay. Do you recall there ever being a 2 previously had that. 3 previous Thursday approach used? 3 Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 11, which A Previous Thursday? 4 4 is the NuCal contract. If you'll look at -- you 5 Q Right. 5 got it? A I don't recall that, no. 6 6 A Yes, I do. 7 Q Okay. There's some documents that we 7 Paragraph 4 of Exhibit 11. can take a look and see if that helps you at all. 8 8 Α Mm-hmm. And you said before that the price that 9 9 Q It makes reference to terms, 2 percent was paid to Rose Acre, the price that Rose Acre 10, net 45 days from receipt of goods. Do you 10 bid, was to include packaging costs for the 11 see that? purchase of packaging from Kroger; correct? 12 A Yes, I do. 12 13 A Correct. 13 Q Can you explain what that means in Q So this number for Grade A jumbo, .0077 14 14 terms of pricing? 15 ahead or above the Urner Barry large market, A We would get 2 percent if we paid 15 16 included in it some cost -- I guess it's jumbo within 10 days, and the net was due in 45 days. 16 17 eggs, so it would have included \$79 per thousand 17 Q So that was an -- what I would call an 18 cartons for jumbo eggs? 18 off invoice discount? 19 A Correct. The cost of the cartons and 19 A Yes. 20 shipping containers. All shipping containers 20 Q In other words, if you would have were included in this quote. billed -- if you were billed a hundred dollars, 21 Q Okay. And the eggs are to be priced 22 the invoice would say a hundred dollars, but if FOB. What does that mean? you paid it promptly you could -- within ten days 23 23 A Delivered to the Kroger warehouse. you could pay only \$98? 24 24 Yes. 25 Q Okay. Was transportation cost to be 25 Α Page 174 Page 176 included in the prices set forth in this 1 Q That's how that works; correct? 1 2 A Yes, it is. Sorry about the head bob, 2 contract? 3 A Yes. 3 I just get out of the habit here. Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 12. Q Were there any discounts that were 4 4 5 applied for sales under a contract such as That's the Midwest contract. Exhibit 7? A I'm going to have to search a little 6 6 7 bit because I don't have them in -- somehow 7 You mean by the supplier to Kroger? Q A prompt payment discount, for 8 they're not in order. 8 instance? 9 Q It's the Midwest contract if that helps 9 vou locate it. 10 10 A I think -- I think it was stated in --11 MR. MURRAY: Here it is. I know in reading the contracts there was something about a prompt payment had a quarter of 12 THE WITNESS: Oh, I had it sitting over 13 13 a cent or something like that. Not -- and not there. apparently on this particular contract. 14 MR. MURRAY: It was sitting over there. 14 You wouldn't have found it in the pile. Q Well, it says terms net 20 days from 15 15 receipt of invoice. What does that mean? A Okay. Yes, I have it. 16 16 Q Okay. The Midwest contract says that 17 A It means we would pay within 20 days 17 Kroger will be going to a cost plus program. Can from receiving the invoice. 18 18 you explain what a cost plus program is? 19 Q Okay. And there's -- I don't see 19 A It's -- it's a fixed price. It's -- if anything in this contract -- perhaps you do --20 20 you look at -- I think in the back here there's that would say there's any kind of prompt payment 21

22

23

24

25

an example.

Okav.

Q

Α

that.

Well, let's just -- yeah, we'll get to

contracts that had that?

22 23

24

discount or anything of that sort. Do you?

Q But you think there may have been

A I didn't see it on this one, no.

Page 177 1 Q But -- and you'll be able to explain 2 what A and B are. I think I know, but may not be 3 a hundred percent sure. But can you just give us 4 a general idea of what a cost plus program is? 5 A Sure. Midwest would tell me what their

6 cost was, their bare cost, and we would agree to some plus to that cost. 7

Q Okay. And was it your recollection 8 9 that as of February 1, 2004, all of the eggs that 10 Kroger purchased from Midwest, until the time you 10 11 retired, obviously, were on a cost plus program? 12

A Yes.

13 Q Did you use a cost plus pricing method with any other supplier besides Midwest? 14

A You know, I can't recall if it ever got 15 in place, but if you -- if you look at that 16 NuCal -- the Monarch bid --17

Q MOARK? 18

19 A -- the one for the West Coast.

20 Q Oh. NuCal.

A NuCal? It talked about looking at a 21 22 cost plus program. I can't recall right now if we ever put it in place or not.

Q Okay. Because Exhibit 11 does have an 24 Urner Barry-based pricing mechanism in it under 25

1 Α No.

11

12

13

2 Q Now we'll get to the cost plus, but I 3 wanted to explore just a little bit at the top of page 2 where it says that "If Midwest should 5 supply other divisions, the following pricing 6 should apply," and it's another Urner Barry-based 7 mechanism.

Page 179

Page 180

8 Α Yes.

Q What does it mean if Midwest Poultry 9 should supply other divisions?

A For example, if the supplier that was supplying Atlanta couldn't meet a seasonal demand --

14 Q Right.

A -- or some type of demand and I had to 15 find eggs somewhere, I would take them from Midwest Poultry to Atlanta. 17

18 Q Right. And --

19 A But that was -- that was on a spot 20 basis.

21 Q Right.

22 A And so this pricing was put into place

to cover those spot shipments. 23

Q So rather than using a cost plus 24 methodology for those shipments, you used an 25

Page 178

paragraph 2; correct? 1

2 A Correct. I think there was resistance.

Q But you think there's a reference in

Exhibit 11 somewhere to switching to a cost plus 5 program?

6 A Exploring it. I thought I read that.

Q I'm not seeing any reference to that.

And I just would like to understand whether 8

that's something you're recalling independently.

A I was -- I think if you look at 10

Exhibit 6. 11

12 Q Okay.

A I thought I saw in my reading there

14 that --

3

7

13

15 Maybe I just imagined it. It's possible. 16

Q But you think it's possible that with 17

18 NuCal there was consideration?

A I think it might have been proposed, 19 20 but I'm not positive. I just thought I read it

21 today in one of these documents.

Q Okay. So other than the possibility of 22 23 NuCal, do you recall any other egg suppliers that

24 you discussed the possibility of a cost plus

25 program with?

Urner Barry-based approach; correct?

2 A Correct.

3 Q And that would be for any shipment by

Midwest to a location other than the five

warehouses shown on the first page of Exhibit 12?

A Yes.

6

20

23

7 Q In Exhibit -- in Roman numeral III, it

appears that brown eggs were also billed based on

an Urner Barry-based price; is that correct?

10 A That's correct.

11 Q And underneath the reference to the

Urner brownie -- Urner Barry brown egg --12

MS. LEVIN: I want a brownie. That's 13 14 my little after-lunch problem.

Q -- it makes a reference to a pick-up 15

allowance. What is that?

16

A That would be if Kroger -- well, at 17 that time Kroger fleet swung by and picked up the 18

eggs instead of having them delivered --19

Q Then --

21 A -- then there would be --

22 Q -- Kroger would -- go ahead.

A -- then Kroger would get a pickup

allowance from Midwest Poultry. 24

25 So it would get a 1.3-cent-per-dozen

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 392-69 Filed: 10/23/23 Page 47 of 57 PageID #:17775 Page 181 Page 183 1 discount? 1 Α Correct. 2 2 What is the processing cost per dozen? A Yes. Q 3 Q Now, there is a reference on the first 3 A When the egg comes out of the chicken page of Exhibit 12 to Attachments A and house and goes across the grading machine, it 4 5 Attachment B. And it appears to me -- well, why becomes a processing cost. And that's the cost 6 don't you tell us what the difference is in to take that egg, grade it, and put it in a 7 Attachment A and Attachment B. 7 carton. A Understand you're asking me to go back 8 8 Q Okay. So it's post laying -into an old memory bank. Α 9 9 Yes. Q Well, again, it says Attachment A shows 10 10 Q -- as it were? how the formula for the cost plus pricing is 11 Α Yes. 11 derived. 12 Q And the cost to get it into the carton, 12 A Okay. does that include the cost of -- the 13 Q And Exhibit B reflects the cost sheet 14 14 transportation cost? 15 Midwest Poultry will send each month for the cost 15 A I believe that included everything. change. So --16 Q Okay. And what about the shell egg 16 A Okay. 17 17 production cost? Q -- let's look at A. A That was the cost that -- what it cost 18 18 19 A A is the general format. 19 the supplier to produce an egg. Or a dozen eggs. 20 20 Q Right. I'm sorry. A And B is the specific one. Where on A 21 21 Q And do you know what would be included they refer to feed cost per pound and then they 22 in processing costs, what types of expenses? 22 have some examples --A I think if you go to the Attachment B 23 it spells it out pretty clearly, the shell egg 24 Q Mm-hmm. 24 25 processing cost. -- on B it's the actual feed cost per Page 182 Page 184 dozen -- per pound. Q Well, let's just --1 1 2 Q Okay. And how frequently was the cost 2 A That's what Midwest told me the cost plus price determined? was to produce the egg. 3 3 A I'm going to have to look at the Q Let's stick with Attachment A for just 4 4 contract really quick to see if I can find that 5 5 a minute -because I don't recall exactly. 6 A Sure. 6 7 Q Well, the last sentence on the first 7 Q -- and see if we can get our way page of Exhibit 12 says, "Attachment B reflects through that. 8 the cost sheet Midwest Poultry will send to Pace 9 So the shell egg production cost, the Dairy each month for the cost change." next sentence says it's the feed cost plus a 10 10 A Then that's what it was, once a month. fixed production cost per dozen. Do you see 11 11 So once a month, Midwest Poultry sent that? 12 12 13 to you a calculation of their costs, which in 13 Α 14 turn dictated what the price would be for the 14 Q And do you know what was included in the fixed production cost? 15 following month? 15 A I can't recall that now. A Correct. 16 16 Q Okay. Let's take a look at Attachment 17 Q Okay. But the feed cost is then 17 A, which is, I believe you said, the general calculated below that sentence? 18 methodology; correct? A Correct. 19 19 A Yes. Q And it basically calculates -- well, 20 20 21 can you tell me how that was done? 21 Q So the second sentence in Attachment A

22

23

24

25 cost: correct?

22 of Exhibit 12 says -- appears that the formula is

23 total shell egg cost per dozen equals the shell

egg processing cost plus the shell egg production

A That was done by Midwest Poultry.

A And basically it's what it cost per

Q Mm-hmm.

25 dozen to feed the birds.

Page 185 Q And the two feed costs are corn and 1 soybean? 2

3 A Correct.

Q Okay. So then you take the feed cost 4 per dozen which appears to be .2416. Do you see that line? 6

7 A Feed cost per dozen?

Q Yes. If you go maybe three quarters of 8 9 the way down the page, there's a line that says 10 feed cost per dozen and then there's a

calculation and it says it equals .2416. 11

A Yes, I see that.

And then you add to that the .1866 13 Q 14 fixed costs to give you a total shell egg production cost of .4282; is that the way that part worked? 16

A Yes.

12

17

2

3

7

Q Then you add back in the shell egg 18 19 processing cost of .2449; correct?

A Correct. 20

21 Q And those two numbers give you the 22 total shell egg cost per dozen of .6731 in this case? 23

24 A Correct.

25 Q And was that the price you then paid 1 And it's different for each size.

2 Right. So do you know how those Q 3 numbers at the bottom of Attachment B were calculated? How you got to the 1.0172 for jumbo

eggs? 5

6 A After eight years, no, I cannot tell 7 you that.

8 Q But there was presumably something added on to the -- in this case .6732 cost per dozen to reach a price for jumbo eggs?

A Yes.

11

4

11

18

21

25

12 Q Was one of the things that was added on certified animal care at .0291 per dozen?

A I believe that's what the -- that's 15 what it calls for there.

Q So again, the cost plus program with 16 17 Midwest included a component for the animal 18 welfare program costs; correct?

19 A It included -- it was presented to me 20 as a total package price. This is what it cost 21 me to get eggs.

22 Q Right. And part of what it cost you was .0291 for certified animal care; correct? 23

MR. MURRAY: Objection. 24

Mischaracterizes his testimony.

Page 188

Page 187

Kroger, or was there something added on to that? MR. MURRAY: Objection to the form of the question. You said paid Kroger.

Q I'm sorry. That you paid Midwest? 4

A Right. Yeah, I was kind of confused by 5 that myself. 6

Q Well, yeah, that would be confusing.

A Yes, this is the -- this would be the 8 price that would be paid.

Q So where's the plus come in? 10

A Well, that's included in. That was 11 12 included in the shell egg processing cost per 13 dozen. I believe.

14 Q The processing cost included the plus 15 component of the cost plus?

A Yes. 16

Q And was this price calculated here, 17 18 this .6731, the price for all eggs regardless of

size? 19

A You know, I can't recall that. I think 20 21 if you look at Attachment B, you look at the 22 total Kroger cost per dozen, you'll notice that 23 it's different than the total shell egg cost per 24 dozen.

25 Q Right.

That's what it says here. I 1 2 couldn't -- I couldn't verify that that's the

correct amount. It's --

Q Well, you couldn't --

5 A -- it changed, and so I -- it would 6 change.

7 Q Right. You couldn't verify the feed costs either; correct? 8

9 A That's correct, because that also 10 varied.

Q Or the soybeans cost?

A That also varied depending on the 12 13 market.

14 Q Okay. You couldn't -- you didn't 15 verify any of the numbers in any of your cost plus program contracts with Midwest. Is that 17 what you're saying?

A I'm saying that you could find out what 19 the soybean costs were and what the corn costs were, because those were -- you know, they were off a market.

22 Q And did you verify those costs, or did you just take Mr. Krouse's word for it?

24 A I took Mr. Krouse's word for it.

What is Offsize Differential? Do you

Page 189 Page 191 know what that refers to? 1 can't say that for sure. No. But the reason why I'm smiling is 2 2 Q So would that number be calculated on a 3 what it reminds me of is when I get my gas bill. monthly basis depending upon whether Kroger ran a 4 There's a charge in there that says "for delivery special that month? of gas." And you wonder what the heck is that A Weekly. 5 for? 6 6 Q Weekly? 7 Q Well --7 A The features were weekly. And so it 8 Α That's kind of -- kind of here. I would be a weekly allowance. can't recall what it's for. 9 9 Q But you don't recall if the -- if the 10 Q Do you believe at the time you cost plus price was only recalculated on a understood what it was for? monthly basis, then was the feature allowance 11 MR. MURRAY: Objection to form of the only calculated on a monthly basis? 12 question. Calls for speculation. 13 13 A The feature allowance was a per-dozen 14 A I was much more knowledgeable on egg allowance, so it didn't really matter what the pricing and egg costs when I was involved with 15 cost plus pricing was. If there was a feature it. I've let my mind rust for a while -going on, there would be an allowance of 1.7 16 17 Q I understand --17 cents. A -- and so I can't honestly answer that. 18 18 Q And it applied to all eggs purchased? 19 I would hope I did. I would hate to think that I 19 A It would apply to the feature dozen, I 20 would accept pricing and not be able to get some 20 believe. idea of where it came from. But I can't tell you 21 Q So if it was a feature for Grade A 22 with any definitive answer today about that. 22 large eggs, it applied to all Grade A large eggs? Q I'm just trying to understand what 23 23 Correct. 24 offsize differential refers to, not whether that 24 Q But just for a week as opposed to a number is correct, accurate, or what it's based month? 25 Page 190 Page 192 on. Do you know what that means? 1 A That's correct. 1 2 A At this point, no. I think you would 2 Q Did Midwest ever contact you to -- or 3 have to -- if you ask Midwest Poultry, they could ask that you verify that you had in fact run a probably tell you. 4 feature when you were requesting the allowance? Q What about Feature Allowance, do you 5 5 A I don't -- I don't think they did, but know what that refers to? I can't say for sure. I mean, they would know by 6 6 7 A That would refer to if a Kroger the increase in volume whether there was a 7 division decides to feature eggs, then because of feature or not. 8 8 the volume increase, Midwest would give an 9 MS. LEVIN: I'm going to mark as allowance because they're selling more eggs. 10 Exhibit 18 a document bearing Bates 10 Q So if Kroger ran a special of some sort No. KRGEG00 --11 11 12 for shell eggs that increased the demand, Midwest 12 MS. CRABTREE: I think you're on 19. 13 would support that through an allowance? 13 MS. LEVIN: You're right. Exhibit 19, 14 A Yes. 14 a document bearing Bates No. KRGEG00019358 and 15 Q And was there some trigger for how much 59. 15 increased demand was required before the feature 16 16 MR. NOVAK: I'm sorry, could you repeat allowance kicked in? 17 17 the Bates number on that? A Not that I recall. 18 18 MS. LEVIN: KRGEG19358 to 59. And I'm 19 Q So the feature allowance was 19 not so concerned about the second page, just the incorporated into the price of all the eggs you 20 20 first page.

21

22

23

24

25

19?

identification.)

A I'm not sure. I'm not sure -- I can't

23 tell you definitively if it was just the feature

25 think it was just feature size, but I can't -- I

24 size or if it was for all eggs. As I recall, I

purchased from Midwest?

21

22

(Deposition Exhibit 19 was marked for

Q Have you had a chance to review Exhibit

The first page, yes.

Page 193 Page 195 1 Q And what is Exhibit 19? change. 2 2 Q From Kroger's perspective it gets an It's a letter to me from Cliff 3 Lillywhite talking about a sliding scale concept 3 increased discount as the Urner Barry market goes 4 of pricing. up? 5 Q And the date of Exhibit 19 is 5 Α Correct. August 25, 2003? Q 6 6 What would be the benefit to the A Correct. supplier of that? 7 7 8 Q Do you recall who Mr. Lillywhite was? 8 A Not being a supplier --MR. MURRAY: Objection. Calls for 9 A I can't remember who -- what company he 9 10 worked for. 10 speculation. Q Do you --11 A Yeah. Not being a supplier, I couldn't 11 A But I recall him. 12 answer that. 12 Q Okay. Do you recall what the sliding MS. LEVIN: Let's mark as our next 13 13 14 exhibit a document bearing -- I'm going to put 14 scale concept was? A In looking at the letter, I understand that one aside for a minute. I'm sorry, I'm 15 16 what the sliding scale was. The left-hand trying to find this particular document. 16 17 column, the Mid-West Urner Barry Thursday large 17 THE WITNESS: I just want to say I'm 18 quote -glad to see I'm not the only one that has trouble 18 19 Q Mm-hmm. 19 keeping track of --MS. LEVIN: -- finding -- yeah, but 20 A -- if it was in that particular price 20 21 range, like 40 to 45, he proposed the price would mine -- a document bearing Bates No. --21 22 be eight cents a dozen discount to the Urner 22 I'd like to mark as Exhibit 20 a 23 Barry. And as the market went up, the discount 23 document bearing Bates No. KRGEG00019366. 24 would rise. (Deposition Exhibit 20 was marked for 24 25 identification.) 25 So as the Urner Barry Thursday quote Page 194 Page 196 increased, the discount by whomever Now I know who Cliff Lillywhite worked 1 Α 2 Mr. Lillywhite worked for to Kroger would 2 for. 3 increase. 3 Okay. We'll get to that after you've Q 4 A Correct. taken a minute to review Exhibit 20. Q Is that correct? 5 5 Have you had a chance to review Exhibit Do you know whether a sliding scale 20? 6 6 7 concept was ever used in any of your contracts 7 Α Yes, I have. for the procurement of shell eggs? Q And what is Exhibit 20? 8 8 9 A I cannot remember for sure. 9 It is a letter to me from Cliff Q Mr. Lillywhite says that this concept Lillywhite at Oakdell Egg Farms discussing a few 10 10 seems to have benefits to both parties. Do you items. 11 11 see that? 12 12 Q And Exhibit 20 is dated April 13th, 13 2005? 13 A Yes. A Yes. 14 Q Do you know what the benefit might have 14 been to Kroger or to a shell egg supplier of the Q Okay. And what was Oakdell Egg Farms? 15 16 sliding scale concept? 16 Was that a supplier to Kroger? A Well, as you're procuring eggs, if you 17 17 A Yes. As far as I can recall. 18 look at -- if it were a fixed price of eight Q Do you recall what region Oakdell 18 19 cents a dozen back, then you would pay eight 19 supplied eggs to Kroger for? What division? 20 cents a dozen back whether the market was 40 A No, I cannot recall. 20 21 cents or 55 cents. But if you use a sliding Q Do you recall when Oakdell supplied 21 22 scale, as the market goes up, your cost would go 22 eggs to Kroger? 23 down to a --23 A In looking at this letter I would assume it was in effect in 2005, but I haven't Q So if a Kroger was --24 25 A -- to kind of accommodate the market got -- I can't really recall exactly when.

Page 197 1 Q Okay. The second heading in Exhibit 20 references sliding scale. Do you have a 3 recollection of what sliding scale -- scale is?

A That was what we just referred to --

5 Q Okav.

4

A -- in Document 19. 6

7 Q Gotcha. Later on in that very same paragraph it says, "As in the past, we will continue charging a flat price for each period, 10 based on the prior Kroger period's scale price."

Do you know what that means? 11 A I can't recall anymore. 12

I think Oakdell was a supplier, but not 13 14 a primary supplier.

Q Okay. 15

MS. LEVIN: Let's mark as Exhibit 21 a 16 17 document bearing Bates No. KRGEG00019171. (Deposition Exhibit 21 was marked for 18 19 identification.)

Q And I will only be questioning you 20 21 about the first page.

22 What is Exhibit -- have you a chance -have you had a chance to review Exhibit 21? 23

A I've read the first page. 24

Q And what is the first page of Exhibit

1 failure and you've got a contract out for some

2 corn and there's not enough corn, there's -- you

Page 199

Page 200

3 can sell it for a bigger price. But I'm not

4 really sure about puts and takes. You'd really

5 have to ask Mr. Krouse about that.

Q Okay. You don't know how locking in or 6 purchasing puts with particular strike prices 7 would protect Kroger in the event of a decline in the corn market? 9

10 A I don't know exactly, no. It would be, you know, buy long and sell short, basically. 11

Q Did you try to verify whether

13 Mr. Krouse would have in fact purchased corn 14 puts, for example?

A Mr. Krouse was very good about that. 15 16 He would invite me to meet with him and the 17 person who sits on the -- purchased the -- the

18 guy that bought the corn, I can't think of what

19 his title would be, but it's kind of like a stock

20 broker, only it's a commodities broker.

21 Q Right.

22 A And we would have periodic meetings where he would explain to Mr. Krouse and I what 23 the corn pricing was going to do and where it was 24

going to go and what --

Page 198

21? 1

25

2 A It's a letter to me from Robert Krouse

at Midwest Poultry Services regarding our 3

agreement on November 11th, 2004, to lock in corn

5 and soybean prices.

Q Can you explain to me exactly what that 6 7 means?

8 A One of the variables in the cost plus pricing that we had with Midwest Poultry was the cost of soybeans and corn, which is one of the

larger costs in the production of shell eggs.

12 And by locking into a price we were able to

13 hold -- that would hold the price for a period of

14 time.

17

Q So the price that was being locked in 15 to was for corn and soybean; correct? 16

A Correct.

Q What are puts? It makes reference to 18 19 purchasing corn puts.

A These are market-driven things, puts 20 21 and -- what's the other one? -- strike price?

Q Mm-hmm. 22

23 A I'm not sure now. At the time it was

explained to me, but I have forgotten. It just

25 has something to do with if there's a crop

Q And then --1

> 2 -- was going on.

3 Q And then Mr. Krouse decided whether to

lock in on that particular price for a period of

5 time?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And that's the purpose of Exhibit 21,

to communicate to you that he has locked in on

that price for a particular period?

A Correct.

11 Q And the idea here is to maintain some

12 sort of steadiness in your cost plus contract?

13 A That's correct.

MS. LEVIN: Let's mark as Exhibit 22 a

document bearing Bates No. KRGEG00019004 through 15

16 07.

10

14

17 (Deposition Exhibit 22 was marked for

identification.) 18

19 Q And while she's putting the sticker on

let me just ask you, Mr. Stull, do you have any 20

doubt that you received Exhibit 21 on or about

November 18, 2004?

23 A No.

Q Again, I'll just be questioning you 24

25 about the first page of Exhibit 22.

Page 201 Page 203 Have you had a chance to review 1 A Yes. 1 Defendant's Exhibit 22? 2 2 MS. LEVIN: Let's mark as Exhibit --3 A Yes, I have. 3 Q Well, do you have any doubt that you 4 Q Does Exhibit 22 appear to be similar in received Exhibit 22 on or about September 12th, nature to Exhibit 21 in that it is providing you 2005? 5 with information on locking in to corn and 6 6 A No. soybean meal components --7 7 MS. LEVIN: Let's mark as Exhibit 23 a 8 A Yes. document bearing Bates No. KRGEG000 -- 00019008 Q -- of the shell egg program? 9 9 to 09. What was the time period covered by 10 10 (Deposition Exhibit 23 was marked for Exhibit 21, the last one that we looked at? 11 11 identification.) A May 22nd, 2005, through January 28th, 12 Q We don't need to study the second page. 12 2006. 13 13 Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 14 Q And Exhibit 22, what time period does 14 23? 15 that cover? 15 Α Yes, I have. A January 29, 2006, ending January 27, 16 16 Q What is Exhibit 23? 17 2007. 17 A It's a letter to me from Robert Krouse Q So if you have the cost component, the 18 18 talking about our grain hedging status and the 19 corn and soybean meal cost component locked in 19 corn puts. 20 for an entire period, one-year period, as 20 Q Okay. And Exhibit 23 is dated 21 reflected in Exhibit 22, did that mean that 21 September 23, 2005? 22 your -- your price to -- that you paid to Kroger 22 My birthday. Yes. 23 remained flat for that entire period of time? Q How nice. 23 MR. MURRAY: Object to the form of the 24 24 Do you have any doubt that you received 25 question. Exhibit 23 on or about September 23, 2005? Page 202 Page 204 A I think just as a point of -- if you 1 Α No. 1 look at the back page --2 Q What is a grain hedging status? Or 2 3 Q Yes. 3 what is grain hedging? A -- it shows you. You can see the That's that mysterious puts and cuts 4 4 Α Kroger pricing straight across it stayed -- for thing. You would have to be a commodities trader 5 that period of time it stayed pretty constant. to understand -- be able to explain it, and I 6 So page 3 of Exhibit 22 is a graph of 7 7 can't. what Kroger's shell egg prices were expected to Q Right. Do you understand the second 8 8 9 be -paragraph of Exhibit 23? 10 A Yes. 10 A Correct. Q -- from February '06 to January of '07? 11 Q What does that refer to? 11 The puts -- net profit from the sale of 12 A Correct. 12 Q And it was expected to -- I'm having a the corn puts was \$321,000, and that -- then that 13 went into the Kroger feature allowance cost. 14 hard time figuring out where the -- which of Q So whatever a put is, Exhibit 23 these two axes is over time. 15 15 A I -- I believe those prices are per 16 reflects that the put was sold? 16 A Yes. 17 month prices. 17 Q Beginning when? What would be the 18 Q There was a profit? 18 February '06 price? A Yes. 19 19 A In looking at the graph, I would say it Q And did that profit go to Kroger? Is 20 20 would be the -- you'd go to the left-hand side. 21 21 that correct? Q .7243? 22 A It went into -- yes. It went into the 22 23 A Yes. 23 Kroger feature allowance. Q And then each new entry to the right is Q What is the feature allowance? 24 24 25 a subsequent month? 25 When Kroger would feature eggs, we

Page 205 Page 207 1 would try to find ways to get the price down. 1 (Deposition Exhibit 24 was marked for 2 And when you have a fixed price, like on a cost identification.) 3 plus price, there's no negotiating that price 3 Q Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 4 down, except if you have something like this that 4 24? 5 could go into offset cost. 5 Α Yes, I have. Q And what is Exhibit 24? Q Well, if you look back on Exhibit 22 on 6 6 7 the second page, which is one of several Kroger 7 A It's a letter to me from Robert Krouse cost plus shell egg program documents, we talked 8 of Midwest Poultry regarding some feature pricing 9 about this in conjunction with a different 10 exhibit, but there is a reference to feature 10 Q And what -- there's a reference in the allowance. 11 first sentence -- well, what's the date of 11 A Correct. This is -- this would be in 12 Exhibit 24? 12 addition to feature allowance. 13 A Pardon me? 13 14 Q So this is in addition to whatever 14 Q What's the date of Exhibit 24? 15 feature allowance was already baked into the 15 A April 20th, 2005. 16 contract, or into the cost plus? 16 Q And do you have any doubt about whether 17 A Correct. 17 youreceived Exhibit 24 on or about April 20th, Q And how did you then draw on the 2005? 18 18 19 feature allowance that came as a result of the 19 A No, I do not. 20 corn puts profit? 20 Q There's a reference in the first 21 A It would be based on dozens sold. The 21 sentence to "Four More Value pricing for all 22 more dozens that Kroger sold, they would dip into 22 medium eggs." 23 this and use it. THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. Excuse me. 23 Q Okay. And was there again a trigger To --24 24 25 for a level above which you had to sell before 25 MS. LEVIN: For More Value pricing --Page 206 Page 208 you could draw on the feature allowance? MR. MURRAY: It's all caps at the 1 1 2 A Not that I recall. beginning of each word. 2 3 Q I'm struggling with what triggers being MS. LEVIN: -- for all medium eggs. 3 able to draw on the feature allowance. Q Do you see that? 4 MR. MURRAY: Is that a question? 5 5 A I see that. 6 MS. LEVIN: Yes, it is. 6 Q Do you have a recollection as to what MR. MURRAY: Objection to the form of For More Value pricing refers to? 7 the question. A No, I do not. 8 8 9 A It would be sales, I would think, you MS. LEVIN: I'd like to mark as Exhibit 9 know, based off retroactively. 25 a document bearing Bates No. KRGEG00019303. 10 Q Mm-hmm. Do you know how Kroger went (Deposition Exhibit 25 was marked for 11 11 12 about -- you know, from a flow of money, how 12 identification.) 13 Kroger went about obtaining the feature allowance 13 Q And Mr. Stull, I might only have one 14 funds? question for you, so before you spend too much 15 A I honestly can't answer that. I can't time studying, my question is, do you recognize 16 recall it. the handwriting on Exhibit 25? 16 Q Do you know whether you'd get an 17 17 A No, I do not. 18 invoice that would reflect a discount for a 18 Q Not -- not your handwriting under any 19 feature allowance or is that much less you had to 19 circumstances? pay Midwest on an invoice? 20 20 A Not mine at all. 21 A I can't recall. I can't recall if 21 Q I think that's the only question I have 22 that's how it was done or not. 22 on that document. 23 Q Okay. 23 MS. LEVIN: Let's mark as Exhibit 26 a MS. LEVIN: Let's mark as Exhibit 24 a document bearing Bates No. KRGEG00018723. 24 24 25 document bearing Bates No. MPS-00048631. 25 (Deposition Exhibit 26 was marked for

Page 209 Page 211 identification.) 1 with it. 1 2 Q And my only -- my only question is 2 But apparently the International Egg Q 3 whether this appears to be a document that was 3 Commission put out a publication about their prepared by you? spring meeting in 2004? 5 A No. It's not prepared by me. 5 A Yes. MS. LEVIN: And I would like to mark as 6 Q I'd like to direct your attention --6 Exhibit 27 a document bearing Bates No. and you've had a chance to review Exhibit 27. 7 7 Does it appear to be an accurate rendition of the 8 MPS-00123649. comments that you made to the interviewer? You're not going to like your picture 9 9 in this one. It's very unflattering. 10 MR. MURRAY: Objection to the form of 10 (Deposition Exhibit 27 was marked for 11 the question. 11 identification.) A I can't recall if it is or not. I 12 12 MR. MURRAY: I think you look rather mean, it's -- that was a while back. 13 13 14 dashing. 14 Q Right. Ten years ago. 15 MS. OSBORN: Looks like an Andy Warhol 15 Α pop art piece. 16 Q Towards the bottom of the second column 16 17 THE WITNESS: Different glasses. 17 it states, "They now ensured that their supplies 18 That's about all I can tell you. were compliant with the United Egg Producers' 19 MS. LEVIN: I believe it's just the (UEP) Guidelines on keeping hens." Do you see 20 first page. The second page appears to be 20 that? pertaining to somebody else. 21 21 A Umm --22 THE WITNESS: Right. 22 Q It's almost all the way down, next-to-last paragraph. Q Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 23 23 A I see that, yes. 24 27? 24 Who was the "they"? 25 25 Yes. Α Page 210 Page 212 And what is Exhibit 27? A You know, I'm not sure. You notice 1 Q 1 A It's a press release, or an article where I spoke they put quotation marks around it, 2 3 from the -- the International Egg Commission. and they quoted what I said. That's not in Q Do you know what International Egg quotation marks at all. 4 Commission is? 5 Q Well, I understand it's not --5 A It's a group of international egg 6 A I'm sure that, you know, there's 6 some -- I don't know if I said it or if that's 7 people, I would assume. Q Well, does the first page of Exhibit 27 just assumptions made by whoever wrote this 8 reflect an interview that you gave to the 9 article. 10 International Egg Commission in the spring of 10 Q But my --2004? But if it's not quoted, it's not 11 11 anything I can take claim of. 12 A Yes. 13 Q What was the occasion for this 13 Q My question is who the "they" appears 14 to refer to? 14 interview? 15 A I'm not -- I was invited to a meeting, 15 A I think my guess would be -- and this 16 and I'm not really sure going back what -- what would be a guess; I'm not qualified to say who was the occasion. they're referring to -- would be retailers. 17 17 Q But there was some sort of publication 18 Q Such as Kroger? 18 19 that arose out of that meeting; is that correct? 19 A All retailers. Q Well, would you have been qualified to 20 A Yes. This. 20 Q Right. And does the International Egg speak on what all retailers were doing? 21 21 22 Commission periodically put out a publication of 22 A No. That's why that's not quoted 23 some sort? 23 there, because I didn't say it. A I can't answer that. I don't know. 24 24 Q As of spring of 2004 was Kroger in fact This is the first and only time I was involved 25 ensuring that their egg suppliers were compliant

18

23

3

4

9

23

Page 213 with the United Egg Producers's guidelines on 2 keeping hens?

3 MR. MURRAY: Object to the form of the 4 question.

5 A In talking over today, we would have to 6 refer to the -- I don't know about the time 7 frame. I will stand by what's in all the 8 documents we've put in that have been agreed to.

9 But I don't -- I don't know if that -- if we were 10 in total compliance then or not, I don't know 11 what the status was. I can't recall it.

Q You haven't seen any documents today 12 13 that reflect -- that don't include a requirement 14 that an egg supplier be compliant with the United Egg Producers' guidelines on keeping hens?

MR. MURRAY: Object to the form of the question.

A In what you've shown me? I believe 18 19 that it was in the documents that we looked at 20 todav.

21 Q Okay.

16 17

17

22 MS. LEVIN: I have nothing further.

23 MS. CRABTREE: Nothing from me, thank 24 you.

25 MS. OSBORN: And nothing from me.

Page 214

MR. MURRAY: Okay. I got a couple 1 quick questions. Can you pull out Exhibit 4 2 3 again, please?

MR. NOVAK: This is Paul Novak on the 4 5 phone. I don't know where in the sequence of questioning you'd like me to go. 6

7 MS. LEVIN: Kroger's counsel was about 8 to go.

9 MR. MURRAY: Who do you represent, Mr. Novak? 10

MR. NOVAK: Indirect purchaser 11 12 plaintiffs.

13 MR. MURRAY: All right. Why don't you go, and then I'll go at the end. I'm sorry, I 14 forgot that we still had someone on the phone you've been so well behaved. So quiet. 16

MR. NOVAK: I'm not surprised at all 18 that folks may have forgotten that I was hanging 19 out on the phone.

20 MR. MURRAY: Go ahead and ask your 21 questions.

22 MS. LEVIN: Ask away.

23 MR. NOVAK: Okay. I'm going to take it off speaker so that hopefully I'm easier to hear. 24

MR. MURRAY: Thank you.

1 FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION.

2 QUESTIONS BY PAUL NOVAK:

Q Mr. Stull, I just wanted to be clear.

You had testified I think that you had supply

agreements at various times with Cal-Maine, Rose

Acre, Norco Ranch, National Food, Hillandale,

NuCal and Midwest, perhaps among others; is that 7 correct? 8

A Yes. 9

10 Q Okay. And a lot of the questioning 11 today has been about whether the pricing under

those contracts is based at least in part upon a

posted Urner Barry price. For all of the

14 different producers that I just referenced, with 15 the exception of Midwest, was an Urner Barry

price part of how the price for those suppliers'

17 eggs were calculated?

A Yes, I believe so.

19 Q Okay. And I think you testified that when you discussed the concept of cost plus 20 pricing with other producers in the industry, 22 there was resistance to that; is that correct?

MS. LEVIN: I'll object to the form.

A You know, I can -- I can't say with 24 25 accuracy if it was with all of them, but with

Page 216

Page 215

some of them, yes. 1

> 2 Q All right.

> > MR. NOVAK: That's all I have.

MR. MURRAY: Okay. I just have a couple questions.

6 CROSS-EXAMINATION,

7 QUESTIONS BY KEVIN J. MURRAY:

8 Q Looking at Exhibit 4 --

Α Yes.

Q -- other than by looking at the -- this 10

letter and the title of Mr. Gene Gregory, would

you know who Mr. Gregory is or was?

A I would not recognize him if he walked 13 14 in the door.

15 Q Okay. Looking at the language in this letter that's been marked as Exhibit 4, can you tell if this is something you drafted or if it 17

was drafted by somebody else? 18

MS. LEVIN: Object to the form. Asked 19 and answered several times. 20

21 Q You can answer. I'll repeat the 22 question if you want me to.

A Yes, please.

24 Q Looking at the language that's used in 25 this letter, can you tell if that's something

Page 217 Page 219 that you would have drafted or if it was drafted 1 MS. LEVIN: Object to the form. 2 by someone else? 2 Α Yes. 3 MS. LEVIN: And I'll object to form. 3 Q Kroger was not a member of the UEP; was Asked and answered, and calls for speculation as 4 4 it? 5 5 phrased. A No. 6 6 A It doesn't appear that it's my style of Q Okay. writing. 7 MR. MURRAY: I have no further 7 8 There's a reference in the letter to 8 questions. 9 the Animal Care Certified program. Do you see 9 MS. LEVIN: Just a slight redirect. 10 that? 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION, QUESTIONS BY CHRISTINE C. LEVIN: A Yes, I do. 11 11 Q Okay. Did any of the egg producers who 12 Q Mr. Stull, what's the basis for your 12 13 bid on eggs at Kroger ever tell you that the testimony that Kroger had no input into the 14 FC -- FTC determined that the term animal care 14 drafting of the UEP guidelines? 15 was misleading? A I'm not sure what you're asking me. 15 Q What's the basis for your testimony A I can't recall that. 16 16 17 Q Okay. Did any of the egg producers who 17 that you just gave that Kroger had no input into 18 submitted bids to Kroger ever tell you that the the drafting of the animal welfare guidelines? 19 UEP's goal in pursuing the animal welfare 19 To my knowledge, they didn't. 20 guidelines and certification program was to Q But you don't know one way or the other 20 reduce the supply and raise the price of eggs? whether Kroger had any input into the drafting of 21 the animal welfare guidelines; do you? 22 Α No. 22 A I know I had no input into it. 23 Q Okay. Did any of the egg suppliers who 23 submitted bids to Kroger ever tell you about Q You had no input, but it's quite 24 exporting eggs at below cost? possible that Ms. Marmer, for instance, had input Page 218 Page 220 into the drafting of the animal welfare A No. 1 2 auidelines? 2 Q Did any of the egg suppliers who MR. MURRAY: Object to the form of the submitted bids to Kroger ever tell you that the 3 3 question. Calls for speculation. UEP members were discouraged from building new A I don't know how to say this other than 5 henhouses --5 to say I can't speak for other people within the 6 A No. 6 Kroger organization. 7 7 Q -- once these guidelines were passed? Q I understand. 8 8 9 A Whether she did or not, I have no idea. MS. OSBORN: Objection. Assumes facts 9 10 Q But when asked whether you -- Kroger 10 not in evidence. had any input into the drafting of the animal 11 MR. MURRAY: Okay. Q Did any of the egg suppliers who welfare guidelines, your testimony was no. That 12 was not correct: was it? submitted bids to Kroger ever tell you that the UEP animal welfare program was a hidden agenda 14 A I thought we were talking about me 14 for price fixing? personally. 15 15 A No. Q The question was not you personally, it 16 16 was Kroger. So that testimony was incorrect? 17 MS. OSBORN: Same objection. 17 MR. MURRAY: Object to the form of the Q Would it be fair to say that Kroger had 18 18 19 no direct input in the drafting of the UEP 19 question. auidelines? 20 A I personally had no input into the UEP 20 21 MS. LEVIN: Object to the form. 21 quidelines. A Would you repeat the question, please? 22 Q And you don't know one way or the other 22

23

24 25 whether Kroger did?

A That's correct. I don't know.

MS. LEVIN: Thank you.

23

guidelines?

Q Would it be fair to say that Kroger had

no direct input into the drafting of the UEP

	Page 221		Page 223
1	MR. MURRAY: I think you're done.	1	person in this cause of action; that I am not a
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the	2	relative or attorney of either party, or otherwise
3	deposition of Gary Stull. The time is 4:03	3	interested in the event of this action, and am not
4	almost p.m., and we are off the record.	4	in the employ of the attorneys for either party.
5	MR. MURRAY: We're going to designate	5	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
6	this at least for the time being highly	6	hand and affixed my notarial seal this day
7	confidential, and we don't waive the reading and	7	
8	signing.	8	
9	AND FURTHER THE DEPONENT SAITH NOT.	9	
10		10	NOTARY PUBLIC
11		11	
12		12	My Commission Expires:
13			April 9, 2016
14	GARY A. STULL	14	·
15			County of Residence:
	Subscribed and sworn to and before me		Marion
	this, 20	17	
18	,	18	
19		19	
20		20	
21	Notary Public	21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
	Page 222		
1	STATE OF INDIANA)		
2) SS:		
	COUNTY OF MARION)		
4	I, Tara Gandel Hudson, RPR, CRR, a Notary		
5	Public in and for the County of Marion, State of		
6	Indiana at large, do hereby certify that the		
7	deponent herein, GARY STULL, was by me first duly		
8	sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and		
9	nothing but the truth in the aforementioned matter;		
10	That the foregoing deposition was taken on		
11	behalf of the Defendants at the offices of FAEGRE		
12	BAKER DANIELS, 300 North Meridian Street, Suite		
13	2700, Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana, on the		
14	1st day of April, 2014, commencing at the hour of		
15	9:32 a.m., pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil		
16	Procedure;		
17	That said deposition was taken down in		
18	stenographic notes and translated into an English		
19			
20	• .		
	transcript under my direction, and that said		
21	transcript under my direction, and that said transcript is a true record of the testimony given		
21 22	transcript under my direction, and that said transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the said deponent; and that the signature of		
22	transcript under my direction, and that said transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the said deponent; and that the signature of said deponent to his deposition was requested;		
	transcript under my direction, and that said transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the said deponent; and that the signature of		